

4

In Situ Thermosensitive Hydrogels for Arthritis-Targeted NSAID Delivery: Present Status and Future Possibilities**Rajni Dhiman***

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, PCTE Group of Institutes, I.K. Gujral Punjab technical University, Ludhiana.

*Corresponding Author: rajni@pcte.edu.in.

Abstract

Arthritis is a common condition that majorly affects joint function and the quality of life for millions of people globally. Among its various forms, rheumatoid arthritis is particularly notable due to its complex pathophysiology and chronic inflammatory nature which is presenting significant challenges for effective treatment. Traditional therapies often fail to provide long-term relief or prevent disease progression, highlighting the need for innovative solutions. In these years, Hydrogels have approached as a promising strategy for managing arthritis treatment. Their different properties such as high water content, excellent mechanical integrity and the ability to deliver drugs in a sustained manner that make them well-suited for meeting the complex requirements of arthritis treatment. NSAIDs are commonly used as first-line treatments, but long-term use is linked to cardiovascular, gastrointestinal and renal issues. Drug delivery systems like nanoparticles, liposomes, and hydrogels have developed to improve NSAID efficacy and reduce toxicity. Hydrogels classified as natural, synthetic or hybrid that offer sustained and controlled drug release and can respond to external stimuli like temperature, pH or enzymes ensuring targeted delivery. Advancements in these technologies show enhanced pain relief management and reduced side effects for arthritis patients. Hydrogels, with their versatile characteristics and diverse applications are making an impact on industries such as medicine, environmental science, energy and agriculture. Their potential to revolutionize medical treatment, address sustainability issues and improve everyday life makes them as a critical material for future innovations.

Keywords: *Hydrogels, NSAIDs, Arthritis, NDDS.***Introduction**

Arthritis is a condition that affects one or more joints such as commonly targetting areas like knees, wrists or ankles. Out of different typesof arthritis,

Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) and osteoarthritis (OA) being the most frequently diagnosed¹. At present, osteoarthritis affects approximately 15% of people over the age 30 and above. According to a study published in *The Lancet Rheumatology* that analyzed osteoarthritis data in 1990–2020 from different countries. This research conducted by Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) as part of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021 gave the research highlights about the significant trends in the disease's prevalence. These highlights reveal that the global rise in osteoarthritis cases over the past 30 years is largely due to aging, growth of population and increasing obesity rates. In 1990, there were 256 million cases of osteoarthritis worldwide, but by 2020, this number had increased to 595 million marking a 132% increase. Projections indicate that by 2050 the number of people affected could reach nearly 1 billion².

RA is attributed to the malfunctioning of the immune system, in which the body mistakenly attacks foreign cells and our bodies own cells, classifying it as an autoimmune disorder. This disease commonly affects joints like knees, hips, hands, and appendicular joints, significantly affecting individuals' lifestyle and overall quality of life³.

Osteoarthritis (OA) and other forms of arthritis contribute to major healthcare costs around the world. On average each person with OA spends between \$700 to \$15,600 per year (based on 2019 data)⁴. In developed countries that are treating OA spends about 1 to 2.5% of their total national income. In the United States, the total cost of arthritis exceeds \$580 billion each year which is around 1% of the country's GDP. In Australia, about 3.9 million people currently affected by arthritis and are expected to reach 5.4 million by 2030. The disease costs the country around \$5.5 billion and additional losses due to work absences or reduced ability to work that make the problem even worse⁵.

Arthritis causes a big burden through high medical costs that leads to lost work and reduced quality of life so there is a growing need for smarter and more affordable treatments. One promising option is thermosensitive hydrogels which can help in delivering NSAIDs (pain-relieving drugs) directly to the affected area. This targeted drug delivery helps in reducing side effects and improves how well the medicine works. There are several risk factors that increase the chances of developing arthritis:

- **Gender:** Women are more affected than men. For example, rheumatoid arthritis (RA) happens 2.5 to 5 times more often in women. Around 75% of RA cases are in women those are under 60. After menopause the difference between men and women becomes smaller. In OA around 18% of women over 60 are affected as comparison to 10% of men. This is generally due to hormones, changes during pregnancy, menopause and the immune system that works in women⁶.

- **Lifestyle factors:** Various habits like smoking, being overweight or obese and lack of exercise can elevate the risk of both OA and RA⁷.
- **Genetics:** Family history plays a huge role. People with these genes like HLA-DRB1 are more likely to affect with RA. Genes are majorly responsible for about 40-65% of the risk in people that have seropositive RA. OA also has great impact in families especially where joints are more affected⁸.

Etiology of Arthritis

As the root cause of arthritis is still not clear; however it can be influenced by various factors including genetics, past treatments and lifestyle factors. Meanwhile, this arthritis condition is not limited to developing countries; it is prevalent in developed nations as well⁹. There are various external triggers have been identified such as genetic factors, smoking, bacterial infections like parapyromonous that leads to gingivitis and trauma. These triggers can change autoantigens in such a way that makes them appear foreign to the immune system. There is one specific modification that involves in converting arginine residue to citrulline residue through pepetidylarginine deaminase which results in citrulline proteins that the immune cells fail to recognize that leads to the production of ACPA against them. The immune response involves CD4 T cells, mononuclear phagocytes, fibrinoblasts, and neutrophils produced by B cells.

In Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), different cytokines like TNF α , IL1 and IL6 are produced by microphages that are causing inflammation. Additionally, these cytokines stimulate fibroblast-like synovial cells, leading to their activation, proliferation, and bone erosion. Moreover, these cells also secrete protease which initiates cartilage degradation. As the inflammation spreads from joint to joint, symmetrical arthritis can occur ¹⁰. **Table 1** indicates the etiology of rheumatoid arthritis.

Table 1: Etiology of rheumatoid arthritis

Component	Mechanism	Key Factors	References
Genetic Susceptibility	Certain genes increase risk of RA by making the immune system more likely to misidentify body tissues	HLA-DRB1 (shared epitope alleles like DR4), PTPN22 , PADI4	Stahl EA <i>et al.</i> , 2012
Environment Factors	External factors like smoking and infections modify self-proteins (citrullination), making them appears foreign to immune cells	Smoking, infection, air pollution	Firestein, G. S <i>et al.</i> , 2017
Post-Translational Modifications	Proteins undergo changes like citrullination by PAD enzymes, creating “neoantigens” not recognized as self	PAD4 enzyme, citrullinated vimentin/fibrinogen	Vossenaaret <i>al.</i> , 2004

Autoantibody Generation	Immune system produces antibodies against citrullinated proteins and IgG Fc regions. These appear before symptoms.	ACPA (Anti-CCP), RF (Rheumatoid Factor)	Aletaha <i>et al.</i> , 2010
Activation of immune system	Antigen-presenting cells activate T and B cells → inflammation begins. Cytokines are released in the joints.	CD4+ T cells, B cells, TNF-α, IL-6, IL-1β	McInnes & Schett., 2011
Joint Invasion & Inflammation	Autoantibodies form immune complexes that attract immune cells to joints → synovial inflammation and swelling.	Neutrophils, macrophages, FLS (fibroblast-like synoviocytes)	Firestein., 2003
Checkpoint Failure & Chronicity	Immune “brakes” like CTLA-4 or PD-1 fail → unchecked inflammation continues.	CTLA-4, PD-1, CD80/86	Bluestone <i>et al.</i> , 2015
Joint Destruction	Synovial lining thickens → pannus forms → cartilage and bone erosion occurs.	RANKL, MMPs, TNF-α , osteoclasts	Bottini & Firestein., 2012
Systemic Spread	Inflammation may affect lungs, heart, blood vessels → extra-articular symptoms and complications.	IL-6, CRP, systemic autoimmunity markers	Smolen JS., 2016

Main Text

Role of NSAIDs in Treatment of Arthritis

Clinical decision-making has become difficult because clinicians usually have to choose from a wide range of options when deciding which pharmacotherapy is best for their patients. Various studies show that NSAIDs and opioids can have similar effects on physical function and pain relief. Opioids, however, are linked with a much higher risks. Between 2005 and 2015, the prevalence of opioid use increased by 23% worldwide. Sadly, the United States accounted for more than half of all overdose deaths worldwide in 2019. This trend has been partially contributed to the widespread prescription of high-dose opioids.

Canada's opioid-related mortality rate has significantly increased over time that is rising by 93% between 2000 and 2017. In order to manage their pain, many patients with osteoarthritis in the US are prescribed with opioids (71%) and NSAIDs (65%). Additionally, from 2001 to 2010, there was a notable 70% increase in the prescription of opioids for musculoskeletal pain. Both the quantity of prescriptions written and the dosage levels of opioids prescribed to patients with osteoarthritis have significantly increased in the UK in recent years. These patterns has raisemany concerns regarding the possible outcomes of opioid use and stress the necessity of giving these drugs careful thought and close supervision¹.

NSAIDs basically act by inhibiting the synthesis of prostaglandins and thromboxane through the inhibition of cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes so that they are in result recommended as the first-line treatment for arthritis. Traditional NSAIDs target both COX-1 and COX-2 isozymes to different extents, are widely used for management of pain but their long-term use is restricted due to adverse effects, particularly in the cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, and renal systems. Although they were previously thought to be safer alternatives, COX-2-selective NSAIDs (coxibs) have also been linked to an increased risk of cardiovascular events⁴. **Table 2** is representing COX 1 Vs. COX 2 role in joint inflammation.

Table 2: COX 1 Vs. COX 2 role in joint inflammation

Key Factors	COX 1	COX 2	References
Gene factor	Major role in tissues	Inducible enzyme expressed during inflammation	Osafo <i>et al.</i> , (2017)
Function	Maintains GI mucosa, renal blood flow, platelet function	Produces prostaglandins for inflammation, pain, and fever	Ricciotti and FitzGerald (2011)
Role in Prostaglandin Production	Produces PGE1, PGE2 for homeostasis	Produces large amounts of PGE2 in inflamed tissues	Simmons <i>et al.</i> , 2004
Role in Joint Tissue	Low or baseline in joints	Highly expressed in synovium and chondrocytes in arthritis	<i>COX-1 and COX-2 Tissue Expression: Implications and Predictions</i> , 1997
Induction	Not strongly inducible	Cytokines (IL-1 β , TNF- α), endotoxins	Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2011
Role in Arthritis	Minor role in inflammation	Central role in inflammatory pain and joint damage	Rainsford., 2007
Effects of Inhibition	Can lead to GI ulcers, renal issues, bleeding	Reduces inflammation with lower GI risk (selective COX-2 inhibition)	Grosser <i>et al.</i> , 2017
Inhibitors	Aspirin, Ibuprofen, Naproxen (non-selective NSAIDs)	Celecoxib, Etoricoxib, Rofecoxib (COX-2 selective)	Warner & Mitchell., 2002

NSAIDs are the most frequently prescribed medications for pain and inflammation that accounts approximately 5-10% of all prescriptions annually. The use of NSAID's is especially prevalent in elderly patients, with up to 96% of

individuals over 65 years old receiving NSAIDs in general practice. Approximately 7.3% of old patients who were over 60 years old prescribed at least one NSAID annually. Beyond their anti-inflammatory use, NSAIDs also have antipyretic and analgesic effects³.

NSAIDs have proven effective in reducing inflammation in various conditions like osteoarthritis, rheumatic fever, juvenile rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, gout, and systemic lupus erythematosus. Despite the benefits of NSAIDs in treating different ailments, their conventional dosage forms are associated with various adverse effects, particularly in the elderly, which have been extensively researched in **Table 3**

Table 3: Commonly observed adverse effects of NSAIDs¹⁰

Organ system	Adverse effects
Cardiovascular adverse effects	• Weight gain • Increase in Blood Pressure • Congestive heart failure • Myocardial infarction • Heart Stroke
Renal toxicity	• Electrolyte imbalance • Sodium retention • Edema • Reduce glomerular filtration rate
Nervous system	• Dizziness, confusion, drowsiness • Seizures • Acute meningitis
Skeletal system	• Slow Healing
Allergic reactions	• Aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease • Rash
GI System	• Dyspepsia • Ulcers • Bleeding in GI • Colitis • Erosions in bowel • Esophagitis

Global NSAID Use and Market Trends

The use of NSAIDs remains widespread, especially among the elderly. In Italy, consumption increased from 15.5 to 16.8 defined daily doses per 1000 people per day between 2020 and 2022, before slightly decreasing to 15.1 DDD in 2023. The highest usage was noted among individuals aged 65–74 years and above.²⁸. There are common prescribed NSAIDs include **diclofenac**, **ketoprofen**, **ibuprofen** and **etoricoxib**. Globally, NSAID's are prescribed to **40.3%** of OA patients in high-

income countries and **68.5–83.4%** in upper-middle-income countries annually. However, due to safety issues prescribing trends have slightly declined in high-income regions²⁹. The **global market of NSAID** expanded from **\$18.3 billion in 2022 to \$19.6 billion in 2023** and is much expected to reach **\$24.9 billion by 2027** (CAGR ~6.2%). The regulatory agencies, **FDA** and **EMA** continue to issue safety advisories mainly emphasizing **GI and cardiovascular risks** while promoting NSAIDs as safer alternatives to opioids for managing chronic pain³⁰.

Advanced NSAID Delivery Approaches for Arthritis Treatment

To overcome various unwanted effects linked with conventional NSAIDs there is novel drug delivery systems that have been developed to overcome these issues. These novel approaches include intravenous, delayed-release and sustained-release oral preparations as well as topical applications such as gels, patches and suppositories. The main goal is to minimize NSAID induced toxicity while focusing on targeted drug delivery to specific sites. Moreover, researchers are investigating nanoparticles, liposomes and microspheres to reduce required dosages and enhance drug targeting. Additionally, many of these conventional dosage forms are limited by poor bioavailability, unpredictable pharmacokinetics and lack of joint-specific targeting.

Topical formulations are particularly formulated to minimize systemic exposure while maintaining therapeutic effectiveness. Their efficacy depends on different factors such as the drug type, formulation and application site. For example, Diclofenac is available in many dosage forms such as solution, gel or patch and its systemic effects are linked to the applied area. This approach offers stable systemic concentration of Diclofenac compared to oral administration³¹. Similarly, Ibuprofen, available in various forms such as creams, gels, and tablets, has shown greater effectiveness than conventional drug delivery methods.

There are various nanotechnology based delivery systems are also emerged such as nanoparticles, liposomes and dendrimers. These nanosystems improve solubility of drug, prolong circulation time and allow passive or active targeting to inflamed synovial tissues. For example, **celecoxib-loaded PLGA nanoparticles** demonstrated sustained intra-articular release and reduced joint inflammation in preclinical models³². Similarly, formulations of **liposomal diclofenac** have shown improved joint accumulation and lesser systemic effects. These nanoformulations have reduced the required dose and frequency thus minimizing Gastrointestinal and cardiovascular risks.

While nanocarriers have shown significantly more advanced systemic and targeted NSAID drug delivery but there are **localized intra-articular systems** such as hydrogels have emerged as an equally promising strategies for improving joint-specific drug action. There are various **injectable hydrogel-based formulations** that

are used for sustained release of NSAIDs that acts directly within the synovial cavity thereby maintaining therapeutic concentrations at the site of inflammation and helps in reducing systemic exposure. These hydrogel systems are often **thermosensitive** forming a gel-like depot upon contact with joint temperature and can be loaded with drugs like diclofenac or ibuprofen. Recent preclinical studies have shown that such formulations not only prolong drug residence time but also reduce cartilage degradation and synovial inflammation in arthritis models³³.

In recent years, microneedle (MN) technology has also gained attention for delivering NSAIDs in a minimally invasive, painless and patient-friendly manner. Microneedle patches that is dissolving into the skin to deliver NSAIDs transdermally are being explored as pain-free, self-administered alternatives. In the meantime, precise, site-specific drug release has been made possible directly within inflammatory joints by stimuli-responsive systems that are basically triggered by various factors like joint pH, temperature or particular enzymes. By providing targeted, on-demand release these drug delivery systems reduce off-target effects and cut down on medication waste. These systems are showing lots of potential for individualized arthritis treatment³⁴. **Table 4** provides an overview of different novel systems of NSAIDs used in arthritis treatment.

Table 4: Summary of reported NSAIDs for treatment of Arthritis

S. No.	Drug used	Drug delivery System	Efficacy	Reference
1.	Diclofenac sodium	Nanoparticles	Arthritic pain	Spizzriet <i>et al</i> , 2013
2.	Ketoprofen	Polymeric nanoparticles	Decreased inflammation	Espinosa-Cano E <i>et al</i> , 2020
3.	Piroxicam	Niosomes	Increased drug retention	Subashini R <i>et al</i> , 2020
4.	Meloxicam	Nanoemulsion	Anti-rheumatic arthritic effect	Pathan I <i>et al</i> , 2016
5.	Indomethacin	Polymeric nanicapsules	Anti-inflammatory and Anti-rheumatic arthritic effect	Bernardi A <i>et al</i> , 2009
6.	Indomethacin	Nanomicelle	Anti-rheumatic arthritic effect	Zhang J.X <i>et al</i> , 2007
7.	Larnoxicam	Polymeric nanomicelle	Anti-inflammatory arthritic effect	Helmy H.Set <i>al</i> , 2017
8.	Piroxicam	Solid lipid nanoparticle	Anti-inflammatory effect	Peng L.H <i>et al</i> , 2016

9.	Aceclofenac	Solid lipid nanoparticle	Anti-rheumatic arthritic effect	Raj R <i>et al</i> , 2016
10.	Diclofenac	Pellets	Less side effects	Gabard B <i>et al</i> , 1993
11.	Diclofenac	Microcapsules	Sustained release	Bhatnagar S <i>et al</i> , 1995
12.	Ketoprofen	Floating microparticles	Good flow property	Khosro <i>et al</i> , 2011
13.	Diclofenac	Pharmacosomes	High drug loading	Semalty <i>et al</i> , 2010

Importance of Hydrogels in Arthritis

Hydrogels are networks of polymers that absorb a lot of water and create soft and swollen structures. They have this unique capability to retain significant amount of water which is allowing for controlled release of drug. Water-soluble polymers make up these three-dimensional crosslinked networks which are thought to be a clever and innovative drug delivery system that allows for targeted drug delivery to particular sites while controlling drug release. These are used in different fields like clinical practice, tissue engineering, regenerative medicine, diagnostics, cell immobilization and biomolecular separation. In these years, hydrogels have gained traction as localized delivery platforms for NSAIDs in the management of inflammatory joint conditions like osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis³⁵.

The polymeric structure of hydrogels basically depends on various chemical interactions like primary covalent crosslinks, ionic forces, hydrogen bonds, bio-recognition interactions, polymer crystalline interactions and hydrophobic interactions. However, despite of various advantages hydrogel has some drawbacks also that some drugs are hydrophobic in nature which leads to early drug release due to weak tensile strength of hydrogels³⁶.

Along with these limitations hydrogels offer significant advantages including sustained drug release properties and the ability to maintain high local drug activity through different mechanisms like diffusion, swelling, chemical interactions, and environmental stimuli. Overall, hydrogels show great potential as effective drug delivery system offering broad range of applications in pharmaceutical and biomedical domains³⁷.

Recent studies focus on stimuli-responsive "smart" hydrogels, which can release NSAIDs in response to variations in the temperature, pH or activity of inflammatory enzymes in the joint. For the improvement of therapeutic results 3D-printed hydrogel scaffolds, hydrogel-nanoparticle hybrids and dual-drug delivery systems are being investigated. Even though there is still little clinical translation, hydrogel engineering advancements hold the potential to completely transform arthritis medication³⁸.

In comparison to free drug administration, intra-articular injection of NSAID-loaded hydrogels has showed reduction in joint inflammation, synovial hyperplasia and cartilage erosion in preclinical arthritis models. These systems increase therapeutic adherence and prolong drug retention time. Hydrogel-nanoparticle hybrids and self-healing hydrogels are recent advancements that improve mechanical strength and allow for repeated drug administration following trauma or joint movement³⁹.

Furthermore, biofunctional hydrogels co-loaded with mesenchymal stem cells or anti-inflammatory cytokines (like IL-10) provide the dual advantages of cartilage regeneration and inflammation control that makes them appealing for more severe or degenerative arthritis cases. There is personalized arthritis treatment and patient-specific implants that has become feasible by the combination of 3D printing and biofabrication technologies. Despite ongoing regulatory issues with sterilization, batch reproducibility and long-term safety, hydrogel-based NSAID delivery is able to maintain standard due to the growing global focus on non-opioid pain management and minimally invasive therapies⁴⁰.

Classification of Hydrogel

• Based on origin

Type	Description	Examples
Natural Hydrogels	derived from natural polymers	Cellulose, hyaluronic acid, methyl cellulose, dextran, alginate, chitosan
Synthetic Hydrogels	Formed using synthetic polymers, typically hydrophobic and stronger than natural polymers.	Polyvinyl alcohol, Polyethylene glycol, Polyethylene oxide

• Based on Polymeric composition

Type	Description	Applications
Homopolymer Hydrogel	Formed from a single type of polymer. Structure may be cross-linked. Cross-linking agent like poly HEMA and UV initiators (e.g., benzoin isobutyl ether) are used.	Contact lenses, wound healing, skin burns treatment
Copolymeric Hydrogel	Formed by combining various monomers includes one hydrophilic type.	Copolymer of BLG and poloxamer using diamine-initiated PEO chain
Multipolymer Hydrogel	Composed of two or more different cross-linked components, can be natural or synthetic. May also include non-crosslinking polymers (semi-molecular hydrogels).	Provides enhanced bulk and surface morphology stability

Drug Release from Hydrogels

Drug release from hydrogels typically follows three primary mechanisms: diffusion, swelling-controlled release and polymer degradation.

- **Diffusion Controlled Drug Release**

Hydrogels undergo such drug release shows a broadly utilized mechanism in arthritis treatment that is offering localized and sustained delivery of therapeutic agents. In this system, drug molecules travel from the hydrogel matrix to its surrounding environment primarily via passive diffusion which is driven by a concentration gradient and without involving chemical degradation of the polymer. The diffusion rate depends on the hydrogel's mesh size, degree of crosslinking and the physical characteristics of the drug. Various studies have shown that hydrogels with a mesh size ranging between 5-100 nm can effectively regulate the release of small to medium-sized drug molecules⁴¹. In arthritis therapy, this mechanism is particularly advantageous for delivering anti-inflammatory drugs such as diclofenac, celecoxib and methotrexate reducing systemic exposure and improving drug residence time within the joint cavity. For instance, a celecoxib-loaded thermosensitive hydrogel demonstrated sustained drug release over 7 days and significantly reduced paw swelling in a rat model of arthritis compared to free drug administration⁴².

- **Swelling Controlled Release of Drug**

In this system drug release is maintained by the extent and rate of water absorption by the hydrogel matrix. When it exposed to physiological fluids in the joint space, hydrogels swell as water penetrates the polymer network that leads to the relaxation of polymer chains and subsequent expansion of pore size. This structural transition basically promotes the diffusion of drug molecules that makes swelling a rate-limiting step in the release kinetics. This behavior is particularly relevant in stimuli-responsive hydrogels, which swell due to pH, temperature or inflammation-specific enzymes. For example, thermosensitive hydrogels composed of chitosan and β -glycerophosphate have been shown to transition from sol to gel at body temperature ($\approx 37^\circ\text{C}$) that helps in releasing drugs like celecoxib in a controlled manner for up to 7-10 days in intra-articular applications⁴². In different study, a pH-responsive hydrogel showed increase in drug release under low acidic conditions (pH 6.5) that is observed in inflamed arthritic joints compared to neutral pH⁴³. This kind of release pattern is advantageous for on-demand drug delivery that aligns drug availability with disease flare-ups and minimizing systemic exposure. This swelling property of Hydrogels in a predictable, trigger-sensitive fashion enhances therapeutic precision, making swelling-controlled systems a promising platform in the long-term management of rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis.

- **Polymer Degradation Controlled Release of Drug**

The drug release from Hydrogels in this system has become a remarkable strategy for sustained and prolonged drug delivery in arthritis treatment. In this system, drug release from hydrogel undergoes chemical or enzymatic degradation that leads to matrix erosion and gradual liberation of the encapsulated drug. This process is majorly beneficial for macromolecular therapeutics such as biologics, peptides or gene vectors where prolonged intra-articular presence is mandatory. Degradation can be developed by selecting appropriate natural polymers like hyaluronic acid (HA), chitosan, gelatin or synthetic ones like poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) and polyethylene glycol (PEG)-based hydrogels. For example, in rheumatoid arthritis, HA-based hydrogels broken down by hyaluronidase enzymes that found in inflammatory joints and have shown sustained delivery of TNF- α inhibitors over a period of two to three weeks which results in lowering joint inflammation⁴⁴. Baig M., 2024 showed that using PLGA hydrogels loaded with methotrexate has reported degradation-mediated release over 14 days by maintaining therapeutic levels in the joint with improved histopathological outcomes compared to free drug⁴⁵. Degradation-controlled systems have eliminated burst release and can be developed for zero-order kinetics, ensuring consistent drug exposure over extended durations. Moreover, biodegradation system removes the need for surgical removal that makes these systems highly patient-friendly and clinically feasible for chronic arthritis management.

Different Hydrogel Systems Reported for Sustained and Controlled Delivery of Drugs

Hydrogels are crosslinked structures made up of hydrophilic polymers that have the ability to absorb substantial amounts of water or biological fluids. Here are some different hydrogel systems that have been reported for this purpose:

- **Natural Polymer-Based Hydrogels:** These hydrogels are showing attention in biomedical applications like drug delivery, wound healing, and regenerative medicine due to their biocompatibility, degradability, and flexibility. This review focuses on widely used natural polymers like cellulose, chitosan, alginate, and dextran, along with their synthesis and properties. Various approaches include novel polymer derivatives, multifunctional composite hydrogels and hydrogel microrobots. Meanwhile, emerging fabrication techniques including dual-cross-linking, microfluidics, and 3D/4D bioprinting that helps in enhancing hydrogel properties. Future research projects focus on developing high-performance natural polymer-based materials and innovative hydrogel fabrication strategies for biomedical applications⁶. **Table 5** represents the various formulations of natural polymer based Hydrogels.

Table 5: Natural polymer based Hydrogel systems

S. No.	Name of Natural Polymer	Use of Hydrogel	References
1	Cellulose	High-strength hydrogels for mechanical applications	Buyanov <i>et al.</i> , 2019
2	Chitosan	Applications in delivery of drug and wound healing	Zhao L <i>et al.</i> , 2023
3	Alginate	Applications in Tissue and regenerative medicine	Catoira M <i>Cet al.</i> , 2019
4	Gelatin	Injectable for biomedical applications	Karoyo AH <i>et al.</i> , 2021
5	Hyaluronic Acid	Cartilage regeneration and osteochondral tissue engineering	Yang J <i>et al.</i> , 2023
6	Pectin	Biosensors and food packaging applications	Resende, J <i>Fet al.</i> , 2022
7	Starch	Controlled drug release systems	Wang Z <i>et al.</i> , 2023
8	Gellan Gum	Scaffolds for soft tissue engineering	Seyrig, C, 2022
9	Dextran	Hydrogels for injectable drug delivery	Seyrig, C, 2022
10	Poly(glycerol sebacate)	Photocurable elastomeric hydrogels for biomedical applications	arXiv, 2024

- **pH responsive Hydrogels**

Incorporating pH-sensitive polymers into the hydrogel matrix enables drug release with changes in the surrounding pH, such as those found in diseased tissues or cellular compartments. Abdullah Z *et al.*, 2023 formulated aAvT-co-poly hydrogel using aloe vera gel, tamarind gum, monomer and crosslinker for the controlled delivery of Tramadol HCl. The hydrogels gave significant swelling response at pH 7.4. High drug loading (70.28%-90.64%) and sustained release (92.22% over 24 hours at pH 7.4) of Tramadol HCl has been reported. Meanwhile oral toxicity studies in rabbits have confirmed the biocompatibility and safety of the hydrogel system.

Kumar H *et al.*, 2012 formulated pH-sensitive hydrogels of theophylline. The hydrogels exhibited high swelling in basic pH allowing for delayed drug release and extended release formulation. The studies demonstrated that this formulation provided superior controlled drug release compared to the standard marketed sustained-release product. A pH-sensitive CMC hydrogel represents an effective approach for addressing nocturnal asthma symptoms through sustained intestinal drug release.

- **Enzyme-Responsive Hydrogels**

Hydrogels that are susceptible to degradation due to the presence of specific enzymes can be strategically designed to achieve site-specific drug release at diseased tissues. Reddy N *et al*, 2022 developed a hydrogel-based drug delivery system of Saquinavir mesylate in the form of hard gelatin capsules. Hydrogel was formulated using HPMC 15 cps, Carbopol 971P and granules with MCC acts as a diluent. The hydrogel capsules showed desirable properties such as 95% drug content uniformity, an 11-minute disintegration time and a 98% drug release over 12 hours, adhering to zero-order and Higuchi models. Sobczak *Met al.*, 2022 presented a comprehensive review of the latest advancements in ERHs developed for prolonged drug delivery systems with understanding of enzymes like glucose oxidase, β -galactosidase and metalloproteinases.

- **Hybrid Hydrogel Systems**

Hybrid hydrogels are formulated by combining multiple polymers or materials to utilize their properties that ultimately improving drug delivery systems. Gugleva *et al.*, (2022) developed a formulation by adding curcumin/gentamicin sulfate-loaded niosomes into thermosensitive *in situ* gels. The formulation that has been optimized possessed high drug entrapment efficiency and favorable physicochemical characteristics. It was also integrated into gels composed of poloxamers and chitosan. This system showed a sol-to-gel transition that has showed sustained drug release and synergistic antimicrobial effects.

In different study, Mariagrazia Di Luca (2023) developed a hybrid hydrogel that consists chitosan and graphene oxide (GO) as an electro-responsive and antimicrobial delivery system for Rose Bengal (RB) intended for skin applications. This hydrogel showed a uniform surface morphology, enhanced biocompatibility and good biodegradability. The addition of graphene oxide has improved drug binding capacity and introduced electro-responsive behavior enabling controlled and targeted drug release.

- **Nanocomposite Hydrogels**

Hydrogels developed as nanoparticles may have the ability to improve drug release characteristics allowing for sustained drug delivery. Nahideh Asadi *et al.*, 2019 has developed an innovative nanocomposite hydrogel based on gelatin/polycaprolactone-polyethylene glycol (Gel/PCEC-TGF β 1) for cartilage tissue engineering. The hydrogel formulated has showed good porosity, mechanical strength and supported cell proliferation and chondrogenic differentiation of human adipose derived mesenchymal stem cells (hAD-MSCs). Moreover, it has promoted deposition of glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) and expression of collagen II and aggrecan genes which indicates its strong potential as biomaterial for cartilage tissue repair.

Nariman S *et al*, 2021 formulated a novel hydrogel which contained nanoparticles loaded with Ticagrelor (TG) for the improvement of oral bioavailability and antiplatelet efficacy. The hydrogel demonstrated pH-responsive drug release that results in significantly improved bioavailability which highlights its potential as an effective oral delivery system for lowering the risk of myocardial infarction.

Azin P *et al*, 2023 developed a hydrogel containing diclofenac sodium for the improvement of drug effectiveness and minimizing side effects. The hydrogel has showed increased gel fraction, reduced swelling capacity along with notable antibacterial properties and biocompatibility. The drug release followed Peppas-Korsmeyer model that indicates Fickian diffusion. This nanocomposite hydrogel presents a promising and effective system for drug delivery.

Future Trends of Hydrogels

The area of hydrogel research is changing quickly due to advancements in artificial intelligence, biofabrication and smart materials as all are opening up new possibilities for high-performance and multipurpose systems. The most advanced of these are intelligent and stimuli-responsive hydrogels that can response to temperature, pH, or enzyme activity to provide precise, on-demand drug release. In contrast to only 20% in healthy tissue, recent designs have shown up to 80% drug release in inflammatory conditions⁷⁹. With over 90% accuracy in predicting critical hydrogel behaviors like swelling, mechanical strength, and degradation, artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning have significantly accelerated design and optimization. The development of 4D bio printing is making it possible to produce hydrogels that can mimic dynamic biological processes by changing shape or function over time. A thermoresponsive vascular graft is one such system that has demonstrated the capacity for self-actuated contraction and expansion⁸⁰.

Hydrogels are combination synthetic and natural polymers such as hyaluronic acid and nanomaterials such as graphene oxide are being developed for tissue regeneration applications particularly in cartilage and nerve repair in order to increase mechanical robustness and biofunctionality. Hydrogels that are biologically inspired and self-healing are being developed at the same time. Some of these systems can self-heal in as little as 30 seconds, which makes them perfect for long-term use in implantable and wearable devices⁸¹. Peptide-based hydrogels are being investigated for their capacity to replicate extracellular matrix properties which is helpful in neural and soft tissue engineering while DNA-based hydrogels are facilitating programmable biosensing and drug delivery in the field of biomolecular engineering.

Bringing hydrogel technologies into real medical use is getting more attention now. Scientists and companies are focusing on making them in a consistent and safe way by using good manufacturing practices and designing better clinical trials with

the help of AI. These steps will help in making hydrogel treatments safer and easier to produce on a large scale. Moreover, the global smart hydrogel market may reach \$8.1 billion by 2030 and over 1200 patents were filed in 2023 alone⁸².

Challenges and Limitations

Beyond the various applications of hydrogels across biomedical and industrial sector there are several critical challenges. One major challenge is balancing biodegradability with mechanical strength. Hydrogels designed for tissue engineering, drug delivery or implants are supposed to safely break down in the body. However, when their biodegradability is increased then their structural strength often decreases and makes them less suitable for long-term applications. This performance is particularly seen in cartilage and bone regeneration where strong mechanical support is important but hard to achieve with natural, fast-degrading polymers. Although hybrid materials and nanofillers can help in achieving both high strength and controlled degradation still poses a major challenge in materials science.

Another major concern about hydrogels is the challenge of scaling up hydrogel production. Most hydrogel systems are extremely sensitive to synthesis conditions such as even small changes in polymer concentration, crosslinking agents or temperature can cause significant differences in their properties from batch to batch. Moreover, many hydrogels require sterile environments, specialized fabrication techniques and cold-chain storage which increase production costs and limit their accessibility in resource-constrained systems. This challenge increases in case of smart or multifunctional hydrogels, which include intricate designs, multiple active components and precise structural requirements. Because hydrogels can act as devices, drug carriers or biological scaffolds so they often fall into regulatory grey areas. This can slow down their approval process. Due to lack of universally accepted testing standards for biodegradability, long-term biocompatibility and degradation byproducts further complicates the regulatory process. Moreover, the limited long-term clinical data especially for new smart or injectable hydrogels makes regulatory authorities more cautious that leads to longer and more costly trial phases.

Despite promising outcomes in preclinical studies, the growth of some hydrogel systems this been hindered by high costs, logistical challenges and regulatory uncertainties. To overcome these barriers there is a need for integrated strategies that combine advanced material engineering with scalable manufacturing protocols and AI-guided predictive models for safety and efficacy. By addressing these issues hydrogels can evolve from experimental innovation to accessible therapeutic solutions with significant clinical impact.

Conclusion

Hydrogels have emerged from basic water-absorbing materials into smart and multifunctional systems with high potential across different fields. They are able to replicate natural tissues, respond to biological stimuli and deliver therapeutic agents in a controlled manner has made them different in modern science. From targeted drug delivery and wound healing to tissue engineering and wearable biosensors hydrogels are redefining the levels of personalized and regenerative medicine. In future, next-generation hydrogels will be capable of real-time monitoring, adaptive drug release and tissue integration. The incorporation of machine learning will accelerate hydrogel design and self-healing systems. DNA and peptide-based hydrogels along with hybrid nanocomposites will open new scope in neural, cardiovascular and orthopedic areas. Beyond the healthcare sector, hydrogels are set to transform agriculture, environmental field and flexible electronics that are offering sustainable and smart alternatives to conventional materials. However there are challenges related to scalability, reproducibility, regulatory approval and long-term safety must be addressed. With interdisciplinary collaboration and a focus on translational research, hydrogels has become cornerstones of next-generation science and technology bridging biology and engineering to meet the complex demands of the future.

Abbreviations

NSAIDS	: Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
RA	: Rheumatoid arthritis
APCA	: Anti-citrullinated peptide antibody
TNF	: Tumor Necrosis factor
IL	: Interleukin
COX	: Cyclooxygenase
PVA	: Polyvinyl Alcohol
PEG	: Polyethylene Glycol
PEO	: Polyethylene oxide
MCC	: Microcrystalline cellulose

References

1. B R da Costa *et al.* Effectiveness and safety of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and opioid treatment for knee and hip osteoarthritis: network meta-analysis *BMJ* 2021;375,n2321.
2. The Lancet: New study reveals the most common form of arthritis, osteoarthritis, affects 15% of the global population over the age of 30. *Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation.*

3. C. Christian *et al.* Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in the pharmacological management of osteoarthritis in the very old: prescribe or proscribe? *Ther Adv Musculoskel Dis.* 2021, Vol. 13: 1–13.
4. Leifer VP, Katz JN, Losina E. The burden of OA-health services and economics. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2022 Jan;30(1):10-16. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2021.05.007.
5. Eakin GS, Amodeo KL, Kahlon RS. Arthritis and its Public Health Burden. *Dela J Public Health.* 2017 Mar 22;3(1):36-44. doi: 10.32481/djph.2017.03.006.
6. Danneskiold-Samsøe B, Bartels EM, Dreyer L. Kønssforskelleved autoimmune sygdomme illustreret ved reumatoidarthritis. *Ugeskr Laeger.* 2007 Jun 18;169(25):2440-2.
7. Lambert, P. (2025, April 3). *Understanding the risk factors for arthritis.* The Arthritis Movement.
8. Hoxha F, Tafaj A, Roshi E, Burazeri G. Distribution of Risk Factors in Male and Female Primary Health Care Patients with Osteoarthritis in Albania. *Med Arch.* 2015 Jun;69(3):145-8. 10.5455/medarh.2015.69.145-148.
9. M Alberto., *et al.* Management of Osteoarthritis: Expert Opinion on NSAIDs. *Pain and Therapy.* 2021, Vol. 10:783–808.
10. Leslie J Crofford. Use of NSAIDs in treating patients with arthritis. *Arthritis Research & Therapy.* 2013, vol. 15.
11. Stahl EA, Raychaudhuri S. Rheumatoid arthritis. Evidence for a genetic component to disease severity in RA. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* 2012 May 31;8(6):312-3. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.2012.74.
12. Firestein, G. S., & McInnes, I. B. (2017). Immunopathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. *Immunity,* 46(2), 183–196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2017.02.006>
13. Vossenaar, E. R., Després, N., Lapointe, E., Van Der Heijden, A., Lora, M., Senshu, T., Van Venrooij, W. J., & Ménard, H. A. (2004). Rheumatoid arthritis specific anti-Sa antibodies target citrullinated vimentin. *Arthritis Research & Therapy,* 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.1186/ar1149>
14. Aletaha, D., Neogi, T., Silman, A. J., Funovits, J., Felson, D. T., Bingham, C. O., Birnbaum, N. S., Burmester, G. R., Bykerk, V. P., Cohen, M. D., Combe, B., Costenbader, K. H., Dougados, M., Emery, P., Ferraccioli, G., Hazes, J. M., Hobbs, K., Huizinga, T. W., Kavanaugh, A., Hawker, G. (2010). 2010 Rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: an American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases,* 69(9), 1580–1588. <https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.2010.138461>

15. McInnes, I. B., & Schett, G. (2011). The pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 365(23), 2205–2219. <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmra1004965>
16. Firestein, G. S. (2003). Evolving concepts of rheumatoid arthritis. *Nature*, 423(6937), 356–361. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01661>
17. Bluestone, J. A., Bour-Jordan, H., Cheng, M., & Anderson, M. (2015). T cells in the control of organ-specific autoimmunity. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 125(6), 2250–2260. <https://doi.org/10.1172/jci78089>
18. Bottini, N., & Firestein, G. S. (2012). Duality of fibroblast-like synoviocytes in RA: passive responders and imprinted aggressors. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology*, 9(1), 24–33. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrrheum.2012.190>
19. Smolen, Josef S *et al.*, (2016). Rheumatoid Arthritis. *The Lancet*, Volume 388, Issue 10055, 2023–2038.
20. Osafo, N., Agyare, C., Obiri, D. D., & Antwi, A. O. (2017). Mechanism of action of nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory drugs. In *InTech eBooks*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/68090>
21. Ricciotti, E., & FitzGerald, G. A. (2011). Prostaglandins and inflammation. *Arteriosclerosis Thrombosis and Vascular Biology*, 31(5), 986–1000. <https://doi.org/10.1161/atvbaha.110.207449>
22. Simmons, D. L., Botting, R. M., & Hla, T. (2004). Cyclooxygenase isozymes: The biology of prostaglandin synthesis and inhibition. *Pharmacological Reviews*, 56(3), 387–437. <https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.56.3.3>
23. *COX-1 and COX-2 tissue expression: implications and predictions*. (1997, July 1). PubMed. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9249646/>
24. Zhang, L., Ravipati, A. S., Koyyalamudi, S. R., Jeong, S. C., Reddy, N., Smith, P. T., Bartlett, J., Shanmugam, K., Münch, G., & Wu, M. J. (2011). Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities of selected medicinal plants containing phenolic and flavonoid compounds. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(23), 12361–12367.
25. Rainsford, K. (2007). Anti-Inflammatory drugs in the 21st century. *Subcellular Biochemistry/Subcellular Biochemistry*, 3–27. https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-5688-5_1
26. Grosser, T., Ricciotti, E., & FitzGerald, G. A. (2017). The Cardiovascular Pharmacology of Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs. *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*, 38(8), 733–748. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2017.05.008>

27. Warner, T. D., & Mitchell, J. A. (2002). Cyclooxygenase-3 (COX-3): Filling in the gaps toward a COX continuum? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 99(21), 13371–13373. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.222543099>
28. Perrone, V., Veronesi, C., Ciappetta, M., Lucatelli, D., Luciani, A. C., & Esposti, L. D. (2025). An Up-to-Date Description of the Use of Non-steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs) in Italy: Evidence from Real Clinical Practice. *Advances in Therapy*.
29. *NSAID prescribing in high-risk groups is a major source of avoidable harm, study finds - The Pharmaceutical Journal*. (2024, July 26). The Pharmaceutical Journal.
30. Markets, R. A. (2023, October 17). Non-Steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs Global Market Research Report 2023. *GlobeNewswire News Room*.
31. Zhao, L., Zhou, Y., Zhang, J., Liang, H., Chen, X., & Tan, H. (2023). Natural Polymer-Based Hydrogels: From polymer to biomedical applications. *Pharmaceutics*, 15(10), 2514.
32. Alonso-González, M., Fernández-Carballido, A., Quispe-Chauca, P., Lozza, I., Martín-Sabroso, C., & Fraguas-Sánchez, A. I. (2022). DoE-based development of celecoxib loaded PLGA nanoparticles: In ovo assessment of its antiangiogenic effect. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 180, 149–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2022.09.022>
33. Shah, D. K., Ghosh, S., More, N., Choppadandi, M., Sinha, M., Srivalliputtur, S. B., Velayutham, R., & Kapusetti, G. (2024). ECM-mimetic, NSAIDs loaded thermo-responsive, immunomodulatory hydrogel for rheumatoid arthritis treatment. *BMC Biotechnology*, 24(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12896-024-00856-3>
34. Fonseca, D. F., Vilela, C., Silvestre, A. J., & Freire, C. S. (2019). A compendium of current developments on polysaccharide and protein-based microneedles. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 136, 704–728. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.04.163>
35. Catoira, M. C., Fusaro, L., Di Francesco, D., Ramella, M., & Boccafroschi, F. (2019). Overview of natural hydrogels for regenerative medicine applications. *Journal of Materials Science Materials in Medicine*, 30(10).
36. Karoyo, A. H., & Wilson, L. D. (2021). A review on the design and hydration properties of natural Polymer-Based hydrogels. *Materials*, 14(5), 1095.
37. Yang, J., Wang, H., Huang, W., Peng, K., Shi, R., Tian, W., Lin, L., Yuan, J., Yao, W., Ma, X., & Chen, Y. (2023). A natural polymer-based hydrogel with shape controllability and high toughness and its application to efficient osteochondral regeneration. *Materials Horizons*, 10(9), 3797–3806.

38. Resende, J. F., Paulino, I. M. R., Bergamasco, R., Vieira, M. F., & Vieira, A. M. S. (2022). Hydrogels produced from natural polymers: a review on its use and employment in water treatment. *Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 40(1), 23–38.
39. Alonso-González, M., Fernández-Carballido, A., Quispe-Chauca, P., Lozza, I., Martín-Sabroso, C., & Fraguas-Sánchez, A. I. (2022b). DoE-based development of celecoxib loaded PLGA nanoparticles: In ovo assessment of its antiangiogenic effect. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 180, 149–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2022.09.022>
40. Atwal, A., Dale, T. P., Snow, M., Forsyth, N. R., & Davoodi, P. (2023). Injectable hydrogels: An emerging therapeutic strategy for cartilage regeneration. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, 321, 103030. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2023.103030>
41. Peppas, N., Bures, P., Leobandung, W., & Ichikawa, H. (2000). Hydrogels in pharmaceutical formulations. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 50(1), 27–46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0939-6411\(00\)00090-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0939-6411(00)00090-4)
42. Rahmanian-Devin, P., Rahimi, V. B., & Askari, V. R. (2021). Thermosensitive Chitosan-B-Glycerophosphate hydrogels as targeted drug delivery systems: An Overview on preparation and their applications. *Advances in Pharmacological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2021, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/664089>
43. Kopeček, J. (2007). Hydrogel biomaterials: A smart future? *Biomaterials*, 28(34), 5185–5192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.07.044>
44. Lee, S., Choi, S., & Kim, M. S. (2024). Intra-articular hydrogel formulation prolongs the in vivo stability of Toll-like receptor antagonistic peptides for rheumatoid arthritis treatment. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 372, 467–481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2024.06.034>
45. Baig, M. M. F. A., Wong, L. K., Zia, A. W., & Wu, H. (2024). Development of biomedical hydrogels for rheumatoid arthritis treatment. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 19(1), 100887. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajps.2024.100887>
46. Wang, Z., Wei, H., Huang, Y., Wei, Y., & Chen, J. (2023). Naturally sourced hydrogels: emerging fundamental materials for next-generation healthcare sensing. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 52(9), 2992–3034.
47. Seyrig, C., Poirier, A., Bizien, T., Baccile, N. (2022). In situ stimulation of self-assembly tunes the elastic properties of interpenetrated biosurfactant-biopolymer Hydrogels. *Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique*. 1-38.

48. Phillips, M., Tronci, G., Pask, C., Russell, S. Nonwoven Reinforced Photocurable Poly(glycerol sebacate)-Based Hydrogels. *Polymers*.2024.1-26.
49. Kim, Y.C.; Shin, M.D.; Hackett, S.F.; Hsueh, H.T.; e Silva, R.L.; Date, A.; Han, H.; Kim, B.-J.; Xiao, A.; Kim, Y. Gelling hypotonic polymer solution for extended topical drug delivery to the eye. *Nat. Biomed. Eng.* 2020, 4, 1053–1062.
50. Chen, Y.; Li, Y.; Shen, W.; Li, K.; Yu, L.; Chen, Q.; Ding, J. Controlled release of liraglutide using thermogelling polymers in treatment of diabetes. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, 31593.
51. B. Samik., M. Somnath., B. Uday. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and organ damage: A current perspective. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2020, Vol.180
52. Spizzirri, U. G., Hampel, S., Cirillo, G., Nicoletta, F. P., Hassan, A., Vittorio, O., *et al.* Spherical gelatin/CNTs hybrid microgels as electro-responsive drug delivery systems. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2013. 448, 115–122.
53. Espinosa-Cano E., Aguilar M.R., Portilla Y., Barber D.F., San Román J. Anti-inflammatory polymeric nanoparticles based on ketoprofen and dexamethasone.*Pharmaceutics*.2020;12:723.
54. Subashini Rajaram A.S., Dharmalingam S.R., Chidambaram K. Fabrication of non-ionic surfactant vesicular gel for effective treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *JEMDS*.2020;9:2289–2296.
55. Pathan I., Mangle M., Bairagi S. Design and characterization of nanoemulsion for transdermal delivery of meloxicam. *Anal. Chem. Lett.* 2016;6(3):286–295.
56. Bernardi A., Zilberstein A.C., Jager E., Campos M.M., Morrone F.B., Calixto J.B., Pohlmann A.R., Guterres S.S., Battastini A.M. Effects of indomethacin-loaded nanocapsules in experimental models of inflammation in rats. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2009;158:1104–1111.
57. Zhang J.X., Yan M.Q., Li X.H., Qiu L.Y., Li X.D., Li X.J., Jin Y., Zhu K.J. Local delivery of indomethacin to arthritis-bearing rats through polymeric micelles based on amphiphilic polyphosphazene. *Pharm. Res.* 2007;24(10):1944–1953.
58. Helmy H.S., El-Sahar A.E., Sayed Shamma R.N., Salama A.H., Elbaz E.M. Therapeutic effects of lornoxicam-loaded nanomicellar formula in experimental models of rheumatoid arthritis. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 2017;2:7015–7023.

59. Peng L.H., Wei W., Shan Y.H., Chong Y.S., Yu L., Gao J.Q. Sustained release of piroxicam from solid lipid nanoparticle as an effective anti-inflammatory therapeutics in-vivo. *Drug. Dev. Ind. Pharm.* 2016;43(1):55–66.
60. Raj R., Mongia P., Ram A., Jain N.K. Enhanced skin delivery of aceclofenac via hydrogel-based solid lipid nanoparticles. *Artif. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol.* 2016;44(6):1434–1439.
61. Gabard B, Ellgehausen P. Comparative clinical trial with immediate-release diclofenac pellets, 50 mg TID, and slow-release diclofenac pellets, 75 mg BID. *Current Therapeutic Research.* 1993;54(2):152–160.
62. Bhatnagar S, Nakhare S, Vyas SP. Poloxamer-coated three-ply-walled microcapsules for controlled delivery of diclofenac sodium. *Journal of Microencapsulation.* 1995;12(1):13–22.
63. Khosro A., Yousef J, Siavoush D, Ghobad M, Fatemeh KN. Naproxen–Eudragit Nanoparticles: Preparation and Physicochemical Characterization. *J Rheumatology.* 2011; 83(1): 155-159.
64. Courtney J. Maxwell, Andrew M. Soltisz, Wade W. Rich, Andrew Choi, Matthew A. Reilly, Katelyn E. Swindle-Reilly. Tunable alginate hydrogels as injectable drug delivery vehicles for optic neuropathy. *J Biomed Mater Res.* 2022;110:1621–1635.
65. Szulc-Musiół, B.; Siemiradzka, W.; Dolińska, B. Formulation and Evaluation of Hydrogels Based on Sodium Alginate and Cellulose Derivatives with Quercetin for Topical Application. *Appl. Sci.* 2023, 13, 7826.
66. Nawaz, A.; Ullah, S.; Alnuwaiser, M.A.; Rehman, F.U.; Selim, S.; Al Jaouni, S.K.; Farid, A. Formulation and Evaluation of Chitosan-Gelatin Thermosensitive Hydrogels Containing 5FU-Alginate Nanoparticles for Skin Delivery. *Gels* 2022, 8, 537.
67. M. Vishnu Prakash, Ubaidulla U, Priyanka Sinha, R. Sivakumar, T. Sathish Kumar, Grace Rathnam. Formulation and evaluation of chitosan-based hydrogel matrix of licorice for targeting helicobacter pylori. *Int. J. of Pharmacy and Analytical Research* Vol-10(3) 2021 [271-281].
68. AL-Rajabi, M.M.; Teow, Y.H. Temperature-Responsive Hydrogel for Silver Sulfadiazine Drug Delivery: Optimized Design and In Vitro/In Vivo Evaluation. *Gels* 2023, 9, 329.
69. Rani S., Kumar M. Formulation and evaluation of thermosensitive hydrogel of terbinafine hydrochloride for topical drug delivery. *Int. J. Current Advanced Res.* 2018 Vol 7, Issue 10(D), 15927-15938.

70. Abdullah Z, Ashraf MU, Barkat K, Badshah SF, Rehman U, Razzaq A, Mahmood A, Ulhaq F, Chopra H, Rashid S, Valko M, Alomar S, Kuca K and Sharma R (2023), Formulation of pH-responsive highly swellable hydrogel scaffolds for controlled release of tramadol HCl: characterization and biocompatibility evaluation. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 11:1190322.
71. KumarH, H. G. Shivakumar. *In Vitro* and *In Vivo* Evaluation of pH-Sensitive Hydrogels of Carboxymethyl Chitosan for Intestinal Delivery of Theophylline. *Int. Scholarly Res. Notices*.2012, Article ID 763127, 9 pages.
72. Neralapalli Nikitha Reddy & Shaik Muhammad Fazal ul Haq (2022). Formulation and Evaluation of Hydrogel Based Oral Controlled Drug Delivery System for Saquinavir. *Saudi J Med Pharm Sci*, 8(10): 575-584.
73. Sobczak, M. Enzyme-Responsive Hydrogels as Potential Drug Delivery Systems-State of Knowledge and Future Prospects. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 4421.
74. Gugleva, V.; Michailova, V.; Mihaylova, R.; Momekov, G.; Zaharieva, M.M.; Najdenski, H.; Petrov, P.; Rangelov, S.; Forsy, A.; Trzebicka, B.; *et al.* Formulation and Evaluation of Hybrid Niosomal In Situ Gel for Intravesical Co-Delivery of Curcumin and Gentamicin Sulfate. *Pharmaceutics* 2022, 14, 747.
75. Mariagrazia Di Luca, Ensieh Poursani, Manuela Curcio, Giuseppe Cirillo, Antonietta Salerno, Sara Bolognini, Giovanni De Filpo, Sara Filippi, Orazio Vittorio, Francesca Iemma, and Fiore Pasquale Nicoletta. Evaluating the Efficiency of Chitosan-Graphene Oxide Hybrid Hydrogels as Drug Delivery Systems. *Macromol. Mater. Eng.* 2023. 2300166 (1-11).
76. Nahideh Asadi, Effat Alizadeh, Azizeh Rahmani Del Bakhshayesh, Ebrahim Mostafavi, Abolfazl Akbarzadeh, and Soodabeh Davaran. Fabrication and in Vitro Evaluation of Nanocomposite Hydrogel Scaffolds Based on Gelatin/PCL-PEG-PCL for Cartilage Tissue Engineering. *ACS Omega* 2019, 4, 449–457.
77. Nariman Shahid, Alia Erum, Muhammad Zaman, Ume Ruqia Tulain, Quratul-ain Shoaib, Abdul Majeed, Muhammad F Rasool, Imran Imran, Sultan Alshehri, Behnam Noorani, Faleh Alqahtani. pH-Responsive Nanocomposite Based Hydrogels for the Controlled Delivery of Ticagrelor; In Vitro and In Vivo Approaches. *Int. J. Nanomedicine* 2021:16 6345–6366.
78. Paydayesh, Azin, Soltani, Shirin and Sh Dadkhah, Arezoo. "Preparation and evaluation of polyvinyl alcohol hydrogels with zinc oxide nanoparticles as a drug controlled release agent for a hydrophilic drug" *Journal of Polymer Engineering*, 2023.

79. Zhang, Y., Lu, G., Yan, C., Luo, J., Zhou, X., & Wang, J. (2023). Fabrication of flexible accelerated-wound-healing chitosan/dopamine-based bilayer hydrogels for strain sensors. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 253, 127395. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2023.127395>
80. Ho, T., Chang, C., Chan, H., Chung, T., Shu, C., Chuang, K., Duh, T., Yang, M., & Tyan, Y. (2022). Hydrogels: Properties and applications in biomedicine. *Molecules*, 27(9), 2902. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27092902>
81. Rumon, M. M. H., Akib, A. A., Sultana, F., Moniruzzaman, M., Niloy, M. S., Shakil, M. S., & Roy, C. K. (2022). Self-Healing hydrogels: development, biomedical applications, and challenges. *Polymers*, 14(21), 4539. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14214539>
82. *Patent Analytics Market Size, Share | Global Growth Report 2032*. (n.d.). <https://www.fortunebusinessinsights.com/patent-analytics-market-102774>.

