

9

Corporate Environmental Responsibility and Sustainability: An Analytical Study of Regulatory Frameworks, Climate Targets, Financial Integration and Emerging Trends

Anupa Bhattacharyya*

Senior Manager –Gen AI Cloud Strategy.

*Corresponding Author: anupa.bose@gmail.com

Abstract

This study presents a comprehensive analytical examination of corporate environmental responsibility and sustainability as it has evolved from a peripheral compliance obligation into a central strategic imperative for organizations worldwide. Drawing on publicly available research papers, regulatory documents, industry reports, and institutional data from 2024 to 2026, this paper systematically investigates five interconnected dimensions: (1) the global regulatory landscape encompassing the EU Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD), SEC Climate Disclosure Rules, ISSB Standards (IFRS S1 and S2), and California's climate disclosure laws (SB 253/SB 261); (2) corporate climate target-setting and decarbonization progress, with particular emphasis on the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) reaching 9,764 validated targets by end-2025 and the persistent credibility gap wherein fewer than 7% of net-zero commitments meet basic integrity criteria; (3) energy management, water stewardship, and circular economy performance, including RE100 progress, corporate PPA market dynamics, and the stalled UN Global Plastics Treaty; (4) ESG financial integration evidenced by \$30.3 trillion in sustainable AUM, green bond issuance exceeding \$1 trillion annually, 3,099 climate litigation cases across 55 jurisdictions, and \$4-7 trillion in stranded asset exposure; and (5) emerging trends including the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures (TNFD), AI-driven environmental management systems, mandatory transition plans under the UK Transition Plan Taskforce framework, and the paradoxical coexistence of anti-ESG political backlash with intensifying greenwashing enforcement. The analysis reveals a fundamental transformation from voluntary corporate environmentalism to mandatory, auditable sustainability governance, while identifying critical implementation gaps between ambition and credible action across all dimensions examined.

Keywords: Corporate Environmental Responsibility, CSRD, Climate Disclosure, Circular Economy, TNFD, Transition Plans.

Introduction

Corporate environmental responsibility has evolved from a peripheral compliance obligation into a central strategic imperative that determines long-term organizational survival, competitive positioning, and stakeholder value creation. Organizations across every sector now recognize that profitability, stakeholder trust, and operational resilience depend fundamentally on how effectively they manage their environmental footprint. The transition from voluntary corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives to mandatory, auditable sustainability governance represents one of the most significant shifts in corporate regulation since the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Friede, Busch & Bassen, 2015; GSIA, 2024).

The urgency of this transformation is underscored by converging empirical evidence. Global carbon pricing now covers 28% of greenhouse gas emissions, representing a significant expansion from 24% just one year prior (European Commission, 2025). Institutional investors managing over \$30 trillion in assets have committed to integrating Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria into investment decisions (GSIA, 2024). Climate litigation has reached 3,099 cases across 55 national jurisdictions, nearly quadrupling since 2017 (UNEP/Sabin Center, 2025). Simultaneously, the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) surpassed 10,000 validated corporate targets in early 2026, with 91% of participating companies reporting positive business impacts across strategic cohesion, stakeholder confidence, and financial performance (SBTi, 2026).

The contemporary landscape is characterized by fundamental jurisdictional divergence. The European Union is advancing the most comprehensive mandatory sustainability governance framework in history through the CSRD, CSDDD, CBAM, EUDR, and Green Claims Directive. Simultaneously, the United States federal government is retreating from climate disclosure requirements, with the SEC formally rescinding its climate rules in 2025-2026. This creates unprecedented regulatory complexity for multinational organizations that must navigate 3-7 overlapping compliance obligations across different jurisdictions (Harvard Law School, 2026; Skadden, 2026).

This paper provides a comprehensive examination of corporate environmental responsibility across five integrated dimensions: regulatory frameworks and governance structures, climate targets and decarbonization trajectories, energy-water-circular economy operational performance, ESG financial market integration, and emerging trends shaping the future of corporate sustainability. The analysis draws exclusively on publicly available research papers, regulatory documents, and institutional reports from 2024 to 2026.

Global Regulatory Frameworks and Governance

- **EU Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD)**

The CSRD represents the EU's flagship mandatory sustainability reporting framework, establishing the world's most comprehensive disclosure regime. Originally designed to apply to approximately 50,000 companies across the EU and beyond, the directive underwent a major recalibration in December 2025 when the European Parliament adopted a significantly streamlined version through the "Omnibus" initiative launched in February 2025. Under the amended CSRD, EU companies fall within scope only if they exceed EUR 450 million net turnover and have 1,000 employees at the entity or consolidated level. This narrowing reduces mandatory reporters by approximately 80% (JD Supra, 2026; BCESG, 2026).

The CSRD's cornerstone innovation is the double materiality principle, requiring companies to assess both financial materiality (how sustainability matters affect financial position) and impact materiality (how operations affect people and the environment). The European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS) comprise 12 mandatory standards. Following the Omnibus revision, mandatory datapoints were reduced by more than 60% while preserving double materiality. Limited-assurance requirements remain mandatory, with EU standards due by July 2027 (Workiva, 2026; Council Fire, 2026).

- **SEC Climate Disclosure Rule and U.S. Landscape**

On March 6, 2024, the SEC adopted final climate disclosure rules requiring Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions when material. However, the rule faced immediate legal challenges, was stayed in April 2024, and following administrative change, the SEC voted to end its defense and initiated formal rescission. The 2010 Commission Guidance requiring material climate risk disclosure under existing securities law remains in effect (JD Supra, 2026; Harvard EELP, 2025).

California fills this federal vacuum through SB 253 (requiring GHG emissions across all three scopes for \$1B+ revenue companies, effective 2026) and SB 261 (climate financial risk disclosure for \$500M+ revenue). SB 253 survived legal challenge; SB 261 faces a temporary injunction (Covington, 2025).

- **ISSB Global Adoption**

The ISSB's IFRS S1 and S2 have achieved remarkable adoption. As of April 2026, 28 jurisdictions have adopted the standards representing over 50% of global GDP. Key jurisdictions include UK, Canada, Japan, Singapore, Australia, Nigeria, Brazil, Chile, Qatar, and Mexico. The TCFD was formally disbanded in October 2023 with monitoring transferred to the IFRS Foundation (S&P Global, 2026; IFRS Foundation, 2025).

Table 1: Comparison of Major Sustainability Reporting Frameworks (2026)

Framework	Scope	Status (2026)	Key Requirement
EU CSRD/ESRS	~10,000 companies (revised)	Active; Omnibus revision	Double materiality; 12 standards
SEC Climate Rule	All SEC registrants	Formal rescission	Scope 1&2 (when material)
ISSB (IFRS S1/S2)	28+ jurisdictions	Rapid global adoption	Financial materiality; climate
California SB 253	\$1B+ revenue (in CA)	Active from 2026	Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions
California SB 261	\$500M+ revenue	Enjoined (pending)	Climate financial risk
Australia AASB S2	Phased (2025-2027)	Active from Jan 2025	ISSB-aligned disclosure
UK SRS	Large companies + FIs	Development phase	ISSB-based (target 2026+)

- **Governance Best Practices**

A 2025 KPMG International study found that 78% of global companies now link executive compensation to sustainability performance. In Canada, 22% of TSX60 companies included ESG metrics in long-term incentive plans (up from 15%), typically weighted at approximately 10%. The CSRD requires disclosure of sustainability targets embedded in executive remuneration (KPMG, 2025; ICD, 2025).

Climate Targets and Decarbonization Progress

- **Science Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)**

By the end of 2025, 9,764 companies had validated science-based targets, representing a 40% increase year-over-year. Net-zero targets rose 61%, from 1,441 to 2,325 companies. Between end-2023 and end-Q2 2025, companies setting both near-term and net-zero targets increased by 227%. Asia emerged with 53% growth. 91% of companies report positive business impacts. The SBTi also removed 239 companies in 2025 for non-compliance (SBTi Trend Tracker 2025; Reuters, 2026; Impakter, 2026).

- **The Credibility Gap**

Despite approximately 60% of Forbes 2000 companies having net-zero pledges, the Net Zero Stocktake 2025 found fewer than 7% meet basic credibility criteria. Big Tech illustrates the gap: Google emissions jumped nearly 50%, Meta rose 60%, Amazon increased 33%, Microsoft grew 23% since commitments were made, driven by AI data center expansion (Forbes, 2025; NewClimate Institute, 2024-2025; Carbon Market Watch, 2025).

- Carbon Pricing and EU CBAM**

Global carbon pricing coverage grew from 24% to 28% of emissions. The EU CBAM entered compliance phase January 1, 2026, covering cement, iron and steel, aluminum, fertilizers, electricity, and hydrogen. It is designed to prevent carbon leakage and is spurring other jurisdictions to explore similar policies (ICAP, 2026; European Commission, 2025; WEF, 2025; RFF, 2025).

- Scope 3: The Critical Frontier**

Scope 3 upstream emissions are on average 21 times higher than Scope 1 and 2 combined (BCG, 2025). 62% of organizations cite internal data quality issues; 79% identify supplier data availability as a top challenge. AI-driven solutions are emerging to improve estimates (Sphera, 2025; Normative, 2025).

- Technology Solutions**

CCS operational projects increased 54% in 2025, with 27 new facilities bringing total to 77 globally. 47 are under construction and 297 in development. Green hydrogen frameworks matured with blending obligations in Asia (Global CCS Institute, 2025).

Table 2: Corporate Climate Target-Setting Progress (2024-2025)

Metric	End-2024	End-2025	Growth
Validated near-term targets	6,954	9,764	+40% YoY
Validated net-zero targets	1,441	2,325	+61% YoY
Combined near-term + net-zero	Baseline	227% growth	vs. end-2023
Asia target growth			+53% YoY
Companies removed		239	Enforcement
Positive business impact		91%	Survey (n=171)
Global carbon pricing coverage	24%	28%	+4pp

Energy, Water Stewardship, and Circular Economy

- Renewable Energy and Corporate PPAs**

RE100 comprises 440+ companies consuming 550 TWh/yr (more than South Korea). Average renewable use reached 53%. The corporate PPA market set a record of 68 GW in 2024 (29% growth); Amazon, Microsoft, Meta, and Google contracted 11.3 GW. The market remained robust at 55.9 GW in 2025. The PPA market is projected to grow from \$35.42B to \$39.21B in 2026 at 10.7% CAGR (RE100, 2024-2025; BloombergNEF, 2026).

- Data Center Efficiency**

Google leads with fleet-wide PUE of ~1.10, using 84% less overhead energy vs. industry average of 1.56. Best-in-class PUE of 1.09 is achievable with liquid cooling, free-air economizers, and AI workload management. AI workloads are placing unprecedented strain on data center energy (Google; Hanwha, 2025; IEA).

- **Water Stewardship**

CDP reports \$77 billion at risk from water-related supply chain issues. Investor demand for water data doubled (1,029 companies requested, 122% increase). PepsiCo reached its 2025 water efficiency goal two years early. AWS committed to being water positive by 2030 (CDP, 2024; PepsiCo, 2024; AWS Sustainability).

- **Circular Economy and Plastics**

The EU Circular Economy Act (due 2026) and ESPR set requirements for durability, repairability, and recycled content. The UN Global Plastics Treaty remains stalled after six rounds. Global plastic waste projected to reach 1.7 billion metric tons by 2060 (European Commission, 2026; UN News, 2024; WEF, 2025).

Table 3: Energy, Water, and Circular Economy KPIs (2024-2026)

Indicator	Value	Source
RE100 members	440+; 550 TWh/yr	RE100 2025
Avg. renewable (RE100)	53%	RE100 Disclosure 2024
Corporate PPA volume (2024)	68 GW (record)	BloombergNEF
Google fleet PUE	~1.10	Google Data Centers
Industry avg PUE	1.56	Uptime Institute
CDP water risk	\$77 billion	CDP 2024
Plastics treaty	Stalled (6 rounds)	UN News 2024

ESG Investment, Risk, and Financial Integration

- **Sustainable Investment Scale**

GSIA reports \$30.3 trillion in sustainable assets. ~60% of asset managers integrate ESG. The market is valued at \$13.4 trillion in 2025, projected to \$26.93 trillion by 2031 (CAGR 12.34%). Bond issuance exceeded \$1 trillion in 2024. Outstanding green bonds surpassed \$3 trillion by Q3 2025. Cumulative sustainable debt reached \$7 trillion (GSIA, 2024; Mordor Intelligence, 2026; ICE, 2024; Climate Bonds Initiative, 2025; LSEG, 2025).

- **Financial Materiality**

A meta-analysis of 2,000+ studies find ~90% report non-negative ESG-financial performance relationships. MSCI demonstrates lower costs of equity and debt for higher ESG-rated companies. In Canada, 80% of most profitable companies achieved medium-to-high ESG ratings (Friede et al.; MSCI; CSE-Net, 2025).

- **Climate Litigation**

As of June 2025, 3,099 cases filed across 55 jurisdictions—quadrupling from 884 in 2017. The US accounts for 64% (1,986 cases). Over 80% of 2024 filings were strategic. Three international courts issued advisory opinions. Corporate liability is

expanding through greenwashing and nuisance claims (UNEP/Sabin, 2025; LSE Grantham, 2025; WEF, 2026).

- Stranded Assets**

Exposure spans \$4-7 trillion. Carbon Tracker identified a \$2 trillion danger zone. UK faces \$141 billion exposure. Extreme weather costs exceeded \$400 billion in 2025. ECB research finds climate-induced sovereign downgrades could affect ~60 countries by 2030 (Carbon Tracker; UKSIF; Nature Sustainability, 2025; BC ESG, 2026; ECB, 2025).

Table 4: ESG Financial Integration Metrics (2024-2026)

Metric	Value	Source
Global sustainable AUM	\$30.3 trillion	GSIA 2024
Sustainable finance market	\$13.4 trillion	Mordor Intelligence
Annual bond issuance	>\$1 trillion	ICE 2024
Outstanding green bonds	>\$3 trillion	LSEG Q3 2025
Climate litigation	3,099 cases	UNEP/Sabin 2025
Stranded asset exposure	\$4-7 trillion	Carbon Tracker
Extreme weather costs	>\$400 billion	BC ESG 2026

Emerging Trends and Future Outlook

- Nature-Positive Economy: TNFD and SBTN**

TNFD achieved 502 organizational commitments (\$6.5T market cap, \$17.7T AUM) by October 2024. SBTN validated first nature targets (GSK, Holcim, Kering) in October 2024 and launched ocean targets in March 2025. Over 150 companies preparing validated nature targets (ISS Governance, 2024; SBTN, 2024-2025; NatCap Research, 2025).

- AI in Environmental Management**

The EMS market was valued at \$29 billion in 2024, projected to \$65 billion by 2031. AI automates ESG data collection, enables predictive modeling, and powers digital twins (Siemens, Unilever, Tesla, BP). Satellite AI for deforestation detection is deployed at scale for EUDR compliance (BayTech Consulting; Stanford SFI; KPMG; MDPI Systems, 2025).

- Supply Chain Due Diligence**

The EU CSDDD (adopted 2024) establishes binding value chain obligations with enforcement from December 2026. The EUDR requires proof that commodities were not produced on deforested land. Blockchain and AI enable traceability at scale (Council Fire, 2025; Nature, 2024; Bureau Veritas).

- **Anti-ESG Backlash and Greenwashing Enforcement**

DWS was fined €25 million in April 2025. The EU Green Claims Directive requires substantiation. The practical effect is a shift from performative marketing to substantive action—companies “going quiet” on ESG branding while continuing investments (Forbes, 2025; Charles Russell Speechlys, 2025).

- **Mandatory Transition Plans**

The UK TPT framework (October 2023) establishes the gold standard for transition planning, integrated into ISSB. UK committed to mandating credible plans for financial institutions and FTSE 100 companies aligned with 1.5°C. Enforcement expected from 2026 (JD Supra; Deloitte UK; KPMG; Grant Thornton, 2025).

Discussion and Implications

This analysis reveals several critical dynamics. First, jurisdictional divergence: the EU advancing comprehensive mandatory governance while the U.S. retreats. Second, a persistent implementation gap: SBTi grew 40% but fewer than 7% of pledges are credible. Third, financial markets are internalizing environmental performance through cost of capital, litigation, stranded assets, and insurance retreat. Fourth, convergence of nature/climate frameworks, technology/governance, and voluntary/mandatory action defines the next phase.

Corporate environmental responsibility is no longer optional, marginal, or deferrable. It is a determinant of organizational survival, competitive advantage, and access to capital markets.

Conclusion

Corporate environmental responsibility has matured from voluntary goodwill into a strategic necessity backed by regulation, market forces, and scientific imperative. Key findings: (1) ISSB adopted by 28+ jurisdictions; (2) SBTi grew 40% to 9,764 but only 7% credible; (3) EU CBAM marks a watershed; (4) litigation quadrupled to 3,099 cases; (5) TNFD/SBTN are the next frontier; (6) AI is indispensable for compliance; (7) mandatory transition plans define accountability from 2026.

Future research should address efficacy of mandatory vs. voluntary frameworks, AI's role in Scope 3 data, financial system implications of asset stranding, and nature-positive target effectiveness. The coming years will determine whether current frameworks can deliver the transformation planetary boundaries demand.

References

1. Accenture. (2025). Destination Net Zero 2025.
<https://www.accenture.com/gb-en/insights/sustainability/destination-net-zero-2025>

2. BayTech Consulting. (2025). AI-Powered Waste Management. <https://www.baytechconsulting.com/blog/ai-powered-waste-management-2025>
3. BC ESG. (2026). Climate Risk Professional Guide. <https://bcesg.org/climate-risk-complete-professional-guide/>
4. BCESG. (2026). EU CSRD ESRS Compliance Roadmap. <https://bcesg.org/eu-csrd-esrs-compliance-roadmap-2026-omnibus/>
5. BCG. (2025). Decarbonizing Supply Chain. <https://www.bcg.com/publications/2025/liability-to-advantage-decarbonizing-supply-chain>
6. BloombergNEF. (2026). Corporate PPA Deals 2025. <https://www.pv-magazine.com/2026/02/20/corporate-ppa-deals-down-10-in-2025>
7. Carbon Tracker. Stranded Assets Danger Zone. <http://www.carbontracker.org/report/stranded-assets-danger-zone/>
8. Carbon Market Watch. (2025). Tech Sector Deep Dive. <https://carbonmarketwatch.org/publications/tech-sector-deep-dive-corporate-climate-responsibility-monitor-2025/>
9. CDP. (2024). Water Supply Chain Risk. <https://cdp.net/en/press-releases/water-now-a-major-risk-for-worlds-supply-chains-reports-cdp>
10. CDP. (2024). Investor Demand for Water Data. <https://www.cdp.net/press-releases/a-turning-tide-investor-demand-for-data-on-corporate-water-risks-more-than-doubles-in-a-year>
11. CDP. (2025). Internal Water Pricing. <https://www.cdp.net/en/press-releases/internal-water-pricing-unlocks-resilience-and-long-term-growth>
12. CDP. (2026). Defying Global Headwinds. <https://www.cdp.net/en/press-releases/defying-global-headwinds>
13. Charles Russell Speechlys. (2025). Anti-Greenwashing Outlook. <https://www.charlesrussellspeechlys.com/en/insights/expert-insights/dispute-resolution/2025/anti-greenwashing-in-the-uk-eu-and-the-us-the-outlook-for-2025-and-best-practice-guidance/>
14. Climate Bonds Initiative. Sustainable Debt \$7 Trillion. <https://www.climatebonds.net/news-events/press-room/press-releases/sustainable-debt-market-surpasses-usd7tn-aligned-issuance>
15. Council Fire. (2026). CSRD Compliance Guide. <https://resources.councilfire.org/guides/csr-compliance-guide>
16. Covington. (2025). California Climate Disclosure Laws. <https://www.cov.com/news-and-insights/insights/2025/08/litigation-and-implementation-updates-on-california-climate-disclosure-laws-sb-253-and-sb-261>

17. European Commission. (2025). Carbon Pricing. https://climate.ec.europa.eu/pages/how-eu-climate-diplomacy-driving-carbon-pricing-around-world_it
18. European Commission. (2026). Circular Economy. https://environment.ec.europa.eu/strategy/circular-economy_en
19. Forbes. (2025). Business Case for Net Zero. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/maryjohnstone-louis/2025/09/26/the-business-case-for-net-zero-has-never-been-stronger/>
20. Friede, G., Busch, T., & Bassen, A. ESG and Financial Performance: 2,000+ Studies. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/287126190>
21. Global CCS Institute. (2025). Operational CCS Projects. <https://www.globalccsinstitute.com/carbon-capture-stays-the-course-despite-global-headwinds-with-54-rise-in-operational-projects/>
22. GSIA. (2024). Global Sustainable Investment Review. <https://www.gsi-alliance.org/global-sustainable-investment-review-finds-us30-trillion-invested-in-sustainable-assets/>
23. Harvard Law School. (2026). ESG Wrap-Up 2025. <https://corpgov.law.harvard.edu/2026/01/24/2025-esg-wrap-up-and-2026-outlook/>
24. ICAP. (2026). EU CBAM Compliance Phase. <https://icapcarbonaction.com/en/news/eu-cbam-enters-compliance-phase-and-outlines-path-ahead>
25. ICE. (2024). Sustainable Bond Report. <https://www.ice.com/insights/sustainable-bond-report-2024>
26. IEA. Energy and AI Report. <https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-and-ai/executive-summary>
27. IFRS Foundation. (2025). ISSB Jurisdictional Profiles. <https://www.ifrs.org/news-and-events/news/2025/06/ifrs-foundation-publishes-jurisdictional-profiles-issb-standards/>
28. Impakter. (2026). SBTi Net-Zero Increase. <https://impakter.com/sbti-reports-increase-corporate-net-zero-targets/>
29. ISS Governance. (2024). TNFD Early Adopters. <https://insights.issgovernance.com/posts/putting-tnfd-to-work-insights-from-early-adopters/>
30. JD Supra. (2026). CSRD Recalibration. <https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/csrd-agreed-a-major-recalibration-of-8936214/>
31. JD Supra. (2026). SEC Climate Rule Rescission. <https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/sec-moves-to-formally-rescind-climate-9710218/>

32. Jones Day. (2026). CBAM Definitive Phase.
<https://www.jonesday.com/en/insights/2026/03/the-cbam-definitive-phase-between-regulatory-ambition-and-political-hesitation>
33. KPMG. (2025). Sustainability and Executive Pay.
<https://kpmg.com/xx/en/media/press-releases/2025/03/kpmg-international-report-finds-growing-link-between-sustainability-and-executive-pay.html>
34. LSEG. (2025). Green Debt \$3 Trillion.
<https://www.lseg.com/en/insights/green-debt-market-passes-3-trillion-milestone>
35. LSE Grantham. (2025). Climate Litigation Trends.
<https://www.lse.ac.uk/granthaminstitute/publication/global-trends-in-climate-change-litigation-2025-snapshot/>
36. Mordor Intelligence. (2026). Sustainable Finance Market.
<https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/sustainable-finance-market-to-hit-27-trillion-by-2031-302693694.html>
37. MSCI. ESG Ratings and Cost of Capital.
<https://www.msci.com/www/research-report/msci-esg-ratings-and-cost-of/04811577649>
38. Nature. (2024). Blockchain in Supply Chains.
<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-024-53760-3>
39. Nature Sustainability. (2025). Stranded Power Plants.
<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41893-025-01707-5>
40. NewClimate Institute. (2024). Corporate Climate Monitor.
<https://newclimate.org/resources/publications/corporate-climate-responsibility-monitor-2024>
41. Normative. (2025). AI for Scope 3. <https://normative.io/insight/using-ai-to-tackle-scope-3-emissions/>
42. PepsiCo. (2024). Water Efficiency Goal. <https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/pepsico-reaches-2025-goal-on-water-use-efficiency-302095576.html>
43. RE100. (2024). Annual Disclosure Report. <https://www.there100.org/our-work/publications/2024-re100-annual-disclosure-report>
44. RE100. (2025). Impact Report. <https://www.there100.org/our-work/publications/2025-impact-report>
45. Reuters. (2026). SBTi Validated Targets.
<https://www.reuters.com/sustainability/climate-energy/more-companies-committed-validated-emission-targets-2025-sbti-reports-2026-04-09/>
46. RFF. (2025). Carbon Border Adjustments.
<https://www.rff.org/publications/reports/how-carbon-border-adjustments-might-drive-global-climate-policy-momentum/>

47. S&P Global. (2026). ISSB Q2 Tracker.
<https://www.spglobal.com/sustainable1/en/insights/research-reports/issb-q2-2026>
48. SBTi. (2026). Trend Tracker 2025.
<https://sciencebasedtargets.org/news/corporate-climate-target-setting-up-40-in-2025-with-asia-emerging-as-a-centre-of-gravity>
49. SBTi. (2026). Positive Business Impact.
<https://sciencebasedtargets.org/news/9-in-10-companies-say-science-based-targets-deliver-positive-business-impact>
50. SBTN. (2024). First Nature Targets.
<https://sciencebasedtargetsnetwork.org/news/news/first-companies-publicly-adopting-sbts-for-nature/>
51. Sphera. (2025). Scope 3 Report. <https://sphera.com/company/news/spheras-2025-scope-3-report-reveals-sustainability-progress-despite-persistent-data-challenges/>
52. Stanford SFI. AI in Environmental Accounting.
<https://sfi.stanford.edu/news/ai-game-changers-environmental-accounting-sustainable-finance>
53. TNFD. Adopters List. <https://tnfd.global/engage/tnfd-adopters-list/>
54. UKSIF. Stranded Assets. <https://uksif.org/stranding-press-release/>
55. UN News. (2024). Plastics Treaty Adjourned.
<https://news.un.org/en/story/2024/12/1157646>
56. UNEP/Sabin Center. (2025). Climate Litigation Report.
<https://blogs.law.columbia.edu/climatechange/2025/10/03/climate-change-in-the-courtroom>
57. WEF. (2025). CBAM Impact. <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/12/eu-cbam-impact-business-carbon-pricing-landscape/>
58. WEF. (2025). Global Plastics Treaty.
<https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/07/global-plastics-treaty-inc-5-2-explainer/>
59. WEF. (2026). Climate Litigation Risk.
<https://www.weforum.org/stories/2026/01/climate-litigation-business-risk/>
60. Workiva. (2026). Revised ESRS FAQ.
<https://www.workiva.com/uk/blog/ESRS-consultation>
61. World Economic Forum. (2024). Age of Regeneration.
<https://www.weforum.org/stories/2024/06/businesses-are-moving-beyond-sustainability-welcome-to-the-age-of-regeneration/>.

