

13

The Architecture of Innovation: Analysing the Rise and Evolution of India's Entrepreneurial Ecosystem

Neeru Kumari*

Research Scholar, Indira Gandhi University, Meerpur, Rewari.

*Corresponding Author: neeru1.comm.rs@igu.ac.in

Abstract

This chapter examines the rapid transformation of India's economic landscape into the third-largest startup ecosystem globally. Utilizing an ecological framework borrowed from evolutionary biology, it unpacks the structural interdependencies among four foundational pillars: proactive government policy, diversified financial capital channels, institutional talent pipelines and cutting-edge digital-physical infrastructure. Through detailed quantitative analyses of longitudinal data spanning 2016 to 2026, the study interprets the transition of India's entrepreneurial footprint from a nascent group of urban tech clusters to a decentralized network expanding rapidly into Tier-2 and Tier-3 geographies. The chapter analyses structural employment generation models across tech startups, traditional Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) and the emergent gig economy. Finally, it addresses critical systemic friction points including regulatory compliance overhead, macroeconomic funding contractions and global talent retention hurdles offering a comprehensive strategic outlook on the future trajectory of sustainable innovation in emerging economies.

Keywords: Entrepreneurial Ecosystem, Non-Linear Interdependence, Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) and Geographic Decentralization.

Introduction

In classical macroeconomic theory, production and corporate expansion were long interpreted through a linear combination of land, labour, capital and enterprise. However, the contemporary knowledge economy demonstrates that individual firms do not germinate, mature, or scale within an operational vacuum. Much like a biological organism requires a precise synthesis of nutrient-dense soil, regular hydration, predictable temperature bands and a complex web of symbiotic micro-organisms to thrive, a modern business venture requires a dense, highly

interactive structural matrix. In contemporary economic literature, this supportive matrix is defined as the Entrepreneurial Ecosystem.

An entrepreneurial ecosystem is a highly complex, adaptive socioeconomic network composed of individuals, corporate entities, regulatory bodies, financial intermediaries and educational institutions that deliberately interface to facilitate the ideation, capitalization, operational scaling and ultimate market integration of high-growth startups. In isolation, a brilliant technological breakthrough or an ambitious founder is structurally insufficient. Without systemic linkages to risk capital, regulatory pathways, specialized legal frameworks and scalable talent pools, corporate mortality rates soar.

Over the past decade, the Republic of India has served as an important global laboratory for this systems-driven approach to economic development. Historically characterized by its highly regulated state machinery, extensive bureaucratic friction and a cautious domestic investment culture, India has engineered a rapid systemic pivot. Between 2016 and 2026, the country built an innovation infrastructure that elevated it to the position of the third-largest startup ecosystem in the world, trailing only the United States and the People's Republic of China.

This chapter provides an academic exploration of the operational mechanics governing India's entrepreneurial ecosystem. It analyses the core structural components that animate the network, evaluates the historic data driving its decade-long expansion, unpacks the geographic and social density of its primary hub in Bengaluru and provides a comparative critique of its employment engines. Finally, the chapter addresses the systemic bottlenecks and regulatory friction points that threaten to impede its long-term stabilization.

Theoretical Framework: The Biological Analogy in Economic Sociology

The adoption of the term "ecosystem" by business strategists and economic sociologists is a deliberate departure from static, mechanical views of industrial organization. In biological systems, an ecosystem is defined by the fluid, cyclical energy transfers and interdependent survival mechanisms linking living organisms (biotic elements) to their physical environment (abiotic elements). When applied to economic sociology, the concept shifts focus away from the internal efficiencies of a single firm toward the broader social, cultural and financial architecture of its operational environment.

Within a healthy entrepreneurial ecosystem, an ideal environment is constructed to drastically reduce the transaction costs associated with high-risk innovation. This structural reduction of friction manifests across four essential operational phases:

- **Ideation and Discovery (Finding a Great Idea):** The environment must facilitate open innovation loops, where cross-disciplinary research, industrial exposure and social problems collide to spark commercially viable, scalable solutions.
- **Capitalization (Securing Multi-Stage Funding):** The system must possess a continuous, risk-tolerant ladder of financial capital, ensuring that a venture can seamlessly transition from pocket-money seed capital to highly sophisticated growth equity without facing liquidity gaps.
- **Human Capital Optimization (Hiring Specialized Talent):** Founders must have immediate access to a dense pool of technical, operational, marketing and leadership talent willing to forego the safety of established corporate careers in exchange for high-upside equity models.
- **Market Integration and Penetration (Reaching Customers):** The domestic and international market infrastructure must feature transparent logistical networks, reliable payment rails and clear consumer adoption pathways, enabling startups to rapidly acquire scale.

The foundational thesis of ecosystem theory rests on the Principle of Non-Linear Interdependence. If a single variable within this systemic equation is compromised or absent, the overall efficacy of the remaining variables degrades rapidly. For example, an economy can boast world-class engineering institutes and a massive consumer base; however, if it lacks a regulatory mechanism to channel private wealth into early-stage risk capital, those technical talents will either migrate to foreign ecosystems or default to low-risk, stagnant corporate roles. The best ideas fail if the surrounding ecosystem lacks the specific "nutrients" required to sustain their growth.

The Four Structural Pillars of the Ecosystem

The architecture of India's entrepreneurial ecosystem is supported by four highly institutionalized structural pillars. Each pillar acts as both a discrete provider of specific resources and an interactive node within the broader network.

- **Government Policies and Institutional Support**

The state is the ultimate architect of the ecosystem's formal parameters, operating simultaneously as a "rule-maker" and an "ecosystem catalyst." Historically, the Indian market was defined by the legacy of the *License Rajan* economic regime characterized by bureaucratic red tape, pervasive corruption and protective import substitution policies that discouraged aggressive, venture-backed scaling.

The structural turning point occurred in January 2016 with the formal launch of the Startup India Initiative. This policy framework shifted the state's role from a suspicious regulator to a strategic partner through several systemic interventions:

- **Ease of Doing Business (EoDB) Frameworks:** The government instituted integrated digital portals that unified incorporation, labour registrations and tax provisioning. By substituting months of sequential bureaucratic clearances with unified digital workflows, the time-to-market for incorporation fell from several months to a matter of days.
- **Fiscal Incentives and Tax Holiday Architecture:** Acknowledging that early-stage ventures suffer from acute cash-flow vulnerabilities, the state introduced Section 80-IAC of the Income Tax Act. This provision grants recognized startups a 100% tax holiday on profits for three consecutive blocks within their first ten years of operations, allowing founders to immediately reinvest gross margins directly back into product R&D and market customer acquisition.
- **Regulatory Relaxation and Self-Certification Compliance:** To protect lean management teams from distracting regulatory enforcement actions, the state permitted startups to self-certify compliance across nine core environmental and labour laws for an unhindered five-year period, effectively insulating early-stage innovations from rent-seeking bureaucratic oversight.

- **Funding and Finance Architecture**

Capital acts as the circulatory fluid of the startup universe, with different stages of corporate maturity requiring fundamentally distinct risk profiles and investment philosophies. India's financial architecture has evolved from a conservative, asset-backed bank lending model into a multi-tiered, risk-tolerant capital ladder:

[Ideation / Pre-Seed] —▶ [Early-Stage Growth] —▶ [Mature Scalability]		
Angel Investors	Venture Capital	Banking / Public
(High Net Worth)	(Institutional VC)	(MSME Loans / IPOs)

- **Angel Investors:** High-net-worth individuals (HNIs), often successful exit-generation entrepreneurs themselves, who deploy personal discretionary capital into high-risk, unproven, pre-revenue concepts. They provide the foundational validation capital necessary to transition an idea from a pitch deck into a Minimum Viable Product (MVP).
- **Venture Capitalists (VCs):** Institutional asset managers who pool fiduciary capital from global endowments, sovereign wealth funds and pension funds to invest in high-growth enterprises with clear path-to-scale dynamics. These firms provide deep strategic direction, corporate governance structures and international market access alongside capital injections.

- **Traditional Banking Institutions:** While venture debt and equity fuel tech speculation, traditional scheduled commercial banks maintain the structural liquidity framework for established Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) through collateralized credit lines and state-guaranteed working capital schemes.

- **Skilled People and Human Capital Pipelines**

The conversion of financial capital into economic value requires a continuous, elite human capital pipeline. India's advantage in this domain stems from a structural blend of elite academic institutionalism and informal mentorship networks:

- **Primary Academic Engines:** The Indian Institutes of Technology (IITs), Indian Institutes of Management (IIMs) and the Indian Institute of Science (IISc) function as high-intensity talent incubators. These institutions cultivate deep technical proficiencies in computer science, quantitative engineering and systemic management, producing a steady stream of founders and early-stage operators capable of building globally competitive architectures.
- **Strategic Mentorship Ecosystems:** Beyond formal education, the ecosystem relies heavily on an informal network of experienced executives, corporate leaders and serial entrepreneurs. These mentors provide early founders with critical pattern-recognition capabilities, helping them avoid execution pitfalls during product-market fit discovery, organizational structuring and international expansion.

- **Infrastructure and Market Access Foundations**

The fourth pillar encompasses the physical, digital and structural spaces that lower the barriers to operational execution:

- **Physical Infrastructure:** Stable logistical corridors, dense telecom towers delivering high-speed 5G mobile data and consistent power grids are basic baseline prerequisites for digital commerce.
- **Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI):** India's true competitive edge lies in its state-backed digital commons. The **Unified Payments Interface (UPI)** completely eliminated transaction friction for micro-transactions, driving financial inclusion and digitizing consumer purchasing histories. Following this, the **Open Network for Digital Commerce (ONDC)** emerged as an open-source protocol designed to break up e-commerce monopolies, allowing small neighbourhood retailers and nascent startups to discover customers without paying high gatekeeper fees.

- **Co-working and Flexible Workspaces:** The physical concentration of startups within shared office spaces has democratized urban real estate. By transforming a major capital expenditure (long-term office leases and fit-outs) into a predictable, flexible operational expense, co-working networks allow lean teams to access premium boardroom spaces, high-speed networks and collaborative cross-company communities at a fraction of standard corporate overhead costs.

Empirical Evaluation: Quantitative Data and Interpretations (2016–2026)

To objectively evaluate the velocity and structural scale of India's entrepreneurial shift, we must look closely at the quantitative data. The structural divergence between the ecosystem's state at inception in 2016 and its current performance in the 2025–2026 macroeconomic cycle reveals the compounding impact of coordinated policy and capital deployment.

- **Structural Performance Analysis**

The following table provides a comprehensive overview of the core macro metrics tracking the structural evolution of the Indian startup ecosystem over a ten-year horizon, drawing from the historical data of the Startup India Report and the Economic Survey.

Table 1: Growth of the Indian Startup Ecosystem

Metric	Status at Inception (2016)	Status in Present Cycle (2025-26)	Absolute Growth / Structural Shift
Number of Recognized Startups	~500	Over 1,20,000	~24,000% Increase; signals complete formalization of the entrepreneurial economy.
Global Ranking (Ecosystem Size)	Not in Top 10	3rd Largest in the World	Emergence as a dominant hub of global technological innovation, behind only the US and China.
Number of Unicorns (Value >\$1B)	4	115+	2,775% Increase; highlights mature institutional capabilities to scale companies to billion-dollar valuations.
Direct Jobs Created	Minimal / Statistically Negligible	Over 1.2 Million Direct Jobs	Transition from a speculative niche sector into a primary driver of high-skill employment.

- **The Regularization and Registration Effect**

The explosive jump in recognized startups from ~500 to over 1, 20,000 represents a massive structural shift. This 24,000% increase is not merely a reflection of new businesses being built from scratch; it demonstrates the widespread formalization of an economy that previously operated in the shadows or informal sectors.

The introduction of formal recognition criteria by the Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT) provided startups with a clear reason to formalize: access to public procurement, tax exemptions and institutional capital. This data proves that clear, centralized digital incentives can successfully pull economic activity into the formal system.

- **Maturation of Scaling Dynamics (The Unicorn Index)**

In 2016, India possessed a mere four unicorns companies valued at over one billion US dollars. These early standouts were widely viewed as outliers driven by unique macroeconomic conditions. The expansion to over 115 unicorns by 2025–2026 proves that the ecosystem has built a reliable, repeatable playbook for scaling capital.

A unicorn cannot exist without multi-stage, institutional financing rounds (Series A through Growth Stages). This data confirms that global institutional investors (including sovereign wealth funds, global private equity and domestic venture funds) have deeply integrated into the Indian market, showing long-term confidence in its monetization pathways and exit opportunities.

- **Macroeconomic Value Creation and Job Generation**

The creation of over 1.2 million direct jobs shifts the conversation around startups from a purely technological story to a core pillar of national employment policy. This metric is especially important given the broader structural challenges India faces in creating high-quality employment for its young demographic.

Crucially, every direct technical or managerial role within a startup creates an estimated three to four indirect jobs across supporting sectors like logistics, cloud computing infrastructure, corporate real estate and local service economies.

Case Study: Bengaluru – The Silicon Valley of India as an Ecosystem Microcosm

To understand how these pillars interact in the real world, we can look at the municipal ecosystem of Bengaluru, often referred to as the "Silicon Valley of India." The city serves as an ideal case study for a concept economic geographers call **Agglomeration Economies** the structural efficiencies and cost savings that occur when firms, workers and institutions locate close to one another in distinct geographic clusters.

The transformation of Bengaluru from a quiet administrative and retirement centre into a global technology powerhouse did not happen by accident. It is the result of a deliberate, multi-decade accumulation of structural advantages:

- **Academic and Public Research Foundations:** Following India's independence, the federal government chose Bengaluru to host major strategic public enterprises, including Hindustan Aeronautics Limited (HAL), the Indian Space Research Organisation (ISRO) and Bharat Electronics Limited (BEL). Alongside these industries stood the Indian Institute of Science (IISc), which established a deep local culture of rigorous academic inquiry and high-level engineering proficiency.
- **Legacy Corporate Anchors and the Tech Boom:** In the late 1980s and 1990s, pioneering domestic software service companies like Infosys and Wipro, combined **with** multinational entries like Texas Instruments, chose Bengaluru as their primary operational base. These firms trained hundreds of thousands of software engineers in international delivery standards, large-scale systems architecture and cross-border corporate management, building a deep talent pool ready for the next wave of innovation.
- **Socio-Cultural Density and Innovation Clusters:** Today, Bengaluru contains an estimated 25% to 30% of India's total unicorn population. The operational advantage of the city lies in its unique **Ecosystem Harmony**. In neighbourhoods like Koramangala, HSR Layout and Indira Nagar, the physical density of the ecosystem is extraordinarily high. A founder can meet an angel investor, pitch to a venture capital firm, recruit a lead platform engineer and secure a hot desk in a premium co-working environment all within a single square kilometre.

This spatial density drastically lowers search costs and speeds up execution loops. The constant informal sharing of information across coffee shops, meetups and co-working spaces creates a unique local culture where professional risk-taking is celebrated, failure is viewed as a necessary learning experience and ideas can be rapidly pressure-tested and executed.

Structural Analysis of Employment: Startups, MSMEs and the Gig Economy

The employment footprint of India's business landscape is highly diverse, with different organizational models driving distinct labour dynamics. To understand how jobs are generated across the economy, we must analyze how employment profiles map onto different operational models.

- **Functional Matrix of Labour Distributions**

The following matrix contrasts the explicit roles, ecosystem functions and specific labour types characteristic of the three primary pillars of contemporary Indian employment.

Table 2: Employment Generation Potential

Sector	Structural Role in the Ecosystem	Dominant Employment Type	Labour Economics & Skill Profiles
Startups	Driven by disruptive technological innovation, rapid business scaling and digital intellectual property creation.	High-skill tech jobs, Product Managers, Digital Marketers, and Data Scientists.	High-value compensation models often paired with equity upside; requires continuous up skilling.
MSMEs	Serves as the bedrock of physical industrial production, domestic supply chains and localized service delivery.	Skilled & semi-skilled industrial labour, logistics operators, local retail managers.	Reliant on steady, predictable operational workflows; essential for baseline regional economic stability.
Gig Economy	Provides hyper-flexible, on-demand labour allocation driven by real-time digital matchmaking platforms.	Delivery partners, app-based transport drivers, freelance creators, independent contractors.	Low-barrier, transactional income models lacking traditional corporate safety nets; highly responsive to market demand.

- **The High-Value Technical Talent Pool (Startups)**

As outlined in Table 2, tech startups operate as the primary engine for high-skill, white-collar jobs. These roles demand advanced cognitive and technical capabilities, spanning full-stack software development, machine learning engineering, data analytics, product management and performance marketing.

The labour economics here are characterized by intense competition for top-tier talent, leading to rapid salary growth and the widespread introduction of Employee Stock Ownership Plans (ESOPs). By aligning individual worker incentives directly with company equity, the startup sector has created a new generation of wealth for white-collar professionals, shifting career ambitions away from traditional corporate hierarchies.

- **The Bedrock of Industrial Scale and Regional Employment (MSMEs)**

In contrast to the highly concentrated, capital-intensive nature of tech startups, Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) operate as a decentralized, democratic employment engine across India. These businesses focus on tangible manufacturing, precision engineering component supply, processing industries and physical retail distribution.

The employment profile here centres on skilled, semi-skilled and artisanal labour. While individual salaries are generally lower than those in the tech sector, MSMEs absorb the vast majority of the country's non-agricultural workforce, playing

an indispensable role in maintaining regional economic health and sustaining rural and semi-urban communities.

- **The Digital on-Demand Labour Market (The Gig Economy)**

The emergent gig economy represents a fundamental structural shift in how labour is organized, enabled by the rise of hyper-scale digital logistics and service platforms (such as smartphone-based food delivery, quick-commerce fulfilment, ride-hailing networks and on-demand home service applications). This sector provides an essential, low-barrier employment bridge for individuals transitioning out of agricultural underemployment.

Gig work offers immediate liquidity and unparalleled scheduling flexibility, making it a highly dynamic component of the modern workforce. However, because these roles are fundamentally transactional and structured around independent contracting rather than traditional employment, they also present long-term challenges regarding worker social security, healthcare protections and wage predictability issues that policymakers are actively working to balance.

Systemic Challenges and Friction Points within the Ecosystem

Despite its impressive trajectory, the Indian entrepreneurial ecosystem is not without structural vulnerabilities. To ensure long-term stability, policymakers and founders must navigate several systemic friction points.

- **Regulatory Burden and the Reality of Bureaucratic Friction**

While the federal "Startup India" initiative has successfully simplified high-level corporate frameworks, entrepreneurs still encounter significant regulatory friction at state and municipal levels. Startups frequently find themselves navigating an opaque web of local real estate zoning laws, municipal trade licenses, complex indirect taxation audits and archaic sector-specific rules.

A prime example of this regulatory friction is the ongoing debate over historical capital gains tax mismatches and the complex compliance pathways governing the repatriation of foreign venture investments. For lean, early-stage management teams without dedicated legal departments, the sheer number of monthly hours diverted toward compliance reporting acts as a major tax on innovation, slowing down overall execution velocity.

- **Macroeconomic Volatility and the Reality of "Funding Winters"**

Venture capital operates within global macroeconomic cycles. When major central banks hike interest rates to combat inflation, global liquidity contracts, causing institutional asset managers to pull back from high-risk emerging-market equities. This shift triggers a systemic contraction known as a **Funding Winter**.

During these downturns, the structural vulnerabilities of cash-burning business models are quickly exposed:

- **Valuation Compression:** Companies seeking late-stage growth capital face sharp reductions in valuation, forcing painful "down-rounds" or highly restrictive debt structuring.
 - **The Pivot to Unit Economics:** The investment thesis abruptly shifts from a "growth-at-all-costs" mentality to a strict focus on unit economic profitability and sustainable cash runway.
 - **Capital Deprivation at the Early Stage:** While early-stage seed funding rarely dries up entirely, the bar for securing capital rises significantly, leaving under-capitalized companies exposed to sudden operational failure.
- **Global Talent Competitiveness and the Challenge of "Brain Drain"**

India produces millions of qualified engineering and science graduates every year; however, the ecosystem faces intense competition from advanced international economies for top-tier talent. Silicon Valley, Western European tech clusters and institutional hubs like Singapore consistently draw elite technical minds away with the promise of higher absolute purchasing power, superior public infrastructure and long-term residency options.

Furthermore, as remote work models become institutionalized globally, top-tier Indian engineers can easily contract directly for North American tech firms, earning US-dollar-denominated salaries while remaining inside India. This dynamic drives up local talent costs, forcing early-stage domestic startups to compete on compensation scales that their early-stage balance sheets can rarely sustain.

The Future Horizon: Decentralization into Tier-2 and Tier-3 Urban Frameworks

The next phase of India's entrepreneurial evolution will be defined by a shift from hyper-concentrated tier-1 metropolitan hubs like Bengaluru, Mumbai and the National Capital Region (NCR) toward a decentralized network of Tier-2 and Tier-3 urban economies. Cities like Indore, Jaipur, Ahmedabad, Lucknow and Coimbatore are rapidly emerging as vital hubs of regional innovation.

This geographic decentralization is driven by two strong structural tailwinds:

- **Significant Optimization of Operational Costs**

The operational overhead of running a technology company in a tier-1 metropolitan area has risen dramatically, driven by high commercial real estate rents, intense talent bidding wars and complex urban logistics.

By relocating core engineering, backend development and customer support infrastructure to regional cities, startups can realize cost reductions of 30% to 50% across office space leases and localized talent acquisition. At the same time, employees enjoy a much higher quality of life, lower commuting stress and a significantly reduced cost of living.

- **Localization of Innovation and Problem Solving**

The nature of the problems being solved by Indian entrepreneurs is undergoing a profound shift. Early iterations of the startup boom focused heavily on adapting Western business models for affluent urban consumers yielding premium ride-hailing services, high-end grocery delivery apps and luxury e-commerce platforms.

In contrast, founders emerging from tier-2 and tier-3 ecosystems are building solutions tailored specifically to the realities of the broader population:

- **Agri-Tech Systems:** Developing rugged, low-cost internet-of-things (IoT) soil sensors, solar-powered cold chain logistics networks and algorithmic crop insurance platforms tailored directly for smallholder farmers.
- **Vernacular Language Architectures:** Engineering localized, voice-first artificial intelligence models and commerce interfaces that allow non-English speaking demographics to access digital banking, healthcare and marketplace platforms.
- **Accessible EdTech and Health-Tech:** Creating low-bandwidth digital learning systems and remote diagnostic platforms that connect rural clinics with urban medical specialists, bridging deep infrastructure divides.

By shifting its focus to these foundational challenges, the Indian entrepreneurial ecosystem is moving beyond a speculative tech trend. It is cementing its place as a structural driver of balanced, long-term economic resilience and equitable national development.

Conclusion

The evolution of India's entrepreneurial ecosystem from a small group of IT services providers into a complex, multi-tiered innovation economy highlights the power of combining forward-thinking government policy with risk-tolerant private capital and exceptional human talent. The quantitative evidence shows an ecosystem that has successfully scaled past its early limitations, regularizing over 120,000 entities, nurturing a deep pool of unicorn enterprises and creating over a million high-skill direct jobs.

To maintain this momentum through the next decade, the ecosystem must actively address its internal contradictions. It needs to continue reducing local regulatory friction, transition gig work into a more stable and protected labour model and build resilient domestic capital pools to buffer against global macroeconomic shifts.

As innovation decentralizes into regional hubs, the Indian model demonstrates that a robust entrepreneurial ecosystem is not just an engine for financial returns. It is a powerful socio-economic mechanism capable of democratizing access to technology, creating widespread opportunity and driving sustainable, broad-based growth across an emerging global economy.

References

1. Bala Subrahmanya, M. H. (2020). Entrepreneurial ecosystem for tech start-ups in Bangalore: An exploration of structure and gap. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 27(4), 559–579.
2. Bhagavatula, S., Mudambi, R., & Murmann, J. P. (2019). Innovation and entrepreneurship in India: An overview. *Management and Organization Review*, 15(3), 467–493.
3. Bhattacharyya, J., & Subrahmanya, M. H. Bala. (2024). Determinants of a digital start-up's access to VC financing in India: A signaling theory perspective. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 207, 123612.
4. Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT). (2024). *Startup India status report 2024: Decentralization and milestone growth across regions*. Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Government of India.
5. Gulati, K., Sohal, A., de Vass, T., & Das, N. (2023). Advancing the entrepreneurship ecosystem of India: A qualitative study with Chevening Fellows. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 42(2), 375–404.
6. Kamaluddin, F. A. (2023). *Indian startup ecosystem: Analysing investment concentration and performance of government programmes* (Working Paper No. 514). Institute for Social and Economic Change (ISEC).
7. Kaur, M., Ahmad, Wasim, Hari, K. S., & Kattumuri, R. (2024). FinTech entrepreneurial ecosystem in India: Role of incubators and accelerators. *Global Finance Journal*, 60, 100933.
8. Kompella, S. (2024). A study of the evolving entrepreneurship ecosystem in India: The startup perspective. *International Journal of Economic Practices and Theories*, 14(2), 65–78.
9. Korreck, S. (2019). *The Indian startup ecosystem: Drivers, challenges and pillars of support* (ORF Occasional Paper No. 210). Observer Research Foundation. Cited by: 117
10. Ministry of Finance. (2024). *Economic survey 2023-24: Structural transformation, employment generation and regional hubs*. Government of India.

