

21

Evaluating the Role of Brand Equity in customer Loyalty: A Study on Effective Marketing Management Practices in the Retail Sector

Dr. Podilapu Hanumantha Rao*

Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce and Management Studies, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam.

*Corresponding Author: podilapuat23275@gmail.com

DOI:

Abstract

This research examines the correlation between brand equity and consumer loyalty in the retail industry, emphasising critical elements such as brand awareness, perceived quality, brand trust, and customer happiness. The study used data gathered from 100 customers via a structured survey to evaluate four hypotheses on the impact of these characteristics on customer loyalty. The results indicate that perceived brand quality and trust substantially influence loyalty, with brand trust serving as a mediator between brand equity and loyalty. Furthermore, customer satisfaction has a direct and favourable impact on loyalty, whilst brand awareness is essential in enhancing customer retention." The findings highlight the significance of maintaining brand equity in competitive retail settings to cultivate and maintain client loyalty. Retailers are encouraged to prioritise product quality enhancement, trust preservation, and brand exposure augmentation via successful marketing techniques. The research enhances the existing literature on brand equity and offers pragmatic insights for retail marketing management.

Keywords: Brand Equity, Customer Loyalty, Brand Trust, Perceived Quality, Customer Satisfaction, Retail Sector, Brand Awareness, Marketing Management, Consumer Behavior, Brand Image.

Introduction

Businesses that want to succeed in the long run in today's cutthroat retail environment must prioritise learning how brand equity may increase client loyalty. A product or service's brand equity is the sum of its perceived quality, customer happiness, brand trust, and brand awareness, all of which contribute to the overall worth of the brand. Both acquiring and keeping consumers in today's cutthroat business climate depend on these factors. In a market where consumers have many options and tastes are often changing, a company may gain an advantage by establishing a reputation for dependability and trustworthiness via high brand equity. Thus, businesses that put effort into improving the reputation and quality of their brand are more likely to achieve long-term success via client loyalty and repeat business (Aaker, 1996; Keller, 1993).

The purpose of this research is to examine the connection between brand equity and consumer loyalty by looking at how factors like brand recognition, perceived quality, and trust all play a role in building better connections with consumers. An important goal is to find out whether people are more loyal to a brand when they have a positive impression of its quality and confidence in it, which in turn makes them more likely to pick it over competing brands. This study aims to investigate the impact of consumer satisfaction on long-term retail loyalty by analysing the mediating function of customer pleasure between brand equity and loyalty. This research examines the interaction between these characteristics by evaluating empirical data from one hundred customers, offering valuable insights for retailers aiming to enhance customer loyalty via strategic brand management practices.

Literature Review

The retail sector is highly competitive, prompting organisations to continually seek innovative strategies to attract and retain customers. Brand equity has become more crucial in this rapid market for assessing customer loyalty. Brand equity is the aggregate value perceived by customers, including elements such as brand familiarity, perceived quality, and trustworthiness. Companies aiming for a competitive edge must understand the relationship between brand equity and customer loyalty to succeed in the current highly competitive market (Aaker, 1996; Keller, 1993). A fundamental element of brand equity is the degree of brand awareness. This word highlights the prominence of a brand and its distinguishability from comparable brands. Brand awareness enhances the probability that consumers will engage with, purchase from, and advocate for a certain brand. Customer loyalty is enhanced when individuals perceive trustworthiness and reliability, which this comprehension fosters. Studies indicate that consumers tend to exhibit more loyalty towards established brands, especially within very competitive markets including several alternatives (Villarejo-Ramos & Sánchez-Franco, 2005; Vázquez et al., 2002). Consequently, corporations cannot afford to economise on marketing and advertising if they want to maintain brand prominence.

The establishment of substantial brand equity is contingent upon the perceived quality of the brand. This word refers to customer perceptions of a brand's overall reliability and quality relative to its competitors. Customers are more inclined to repurchase from a firm if they possess a favourable perception of the quality of the product or service previously acquired. Research indicates that organisations offering high-quality products often cultivate more customer loyalty (Keller, 1993; Szymanski & Henard, 2001). This is due to the fact that satisfied consumers are less likely to switch to competitors. Customers' favourable perceptions of a product's quality significantly influence their purchasing behaviour and brand loyalty (Rubio et al., 2017).

Brand trust serves as a mediator in the relationship between brand equity and customer loyalty. A brand cultivates trust by consistently meeting customer expectations, engaging in transparent communication, and steadfastly honouring commitments. Brand supporters are customers who persist in purchasing and endorsing a brand's products despite the availability of other brands (Singh & Sirdeshmukh, 2000; He et al., 2012). Customers are less inclined to switch to other companies when they possess a strong emotional attachment to the brand they trust. The significance of establishing trust to retain clients in the contemporary market has increased due to the plethora of information and choices accessible

to consumers (Reast, 2005; Rastogi et al., 2024). Brand equity is a crucial determinant of retail customer loyalty. Brand equity and customer loyalty may be enhanced when companies focus on augmenting brand awareness, delivering superior products, and fostering trust. This study, building on the foundational work of Aaker (1996) and Keller (1993), used a sample of one hundred customers to empirically examine the relationships among brand trust, perceived quality, and customer satisfaction, while assessing critical assumptions related to these constructs.

Methodology


Research Design

Using a quantitative research strategy, this study empirically assesses the connection between retail brand equity and consumer loyalty. A descriptive and cross-sectional methodology was used, collecting data from a sample of one hundred retail consumers using a structured survey. Using Likert scale questions, the study examines the impact of brand equity factors on consumer loyalty, including brand recognition, perceived quality, and confidence in the brand.

Variables

- **Independent Variables (IV):** Brand Awareness, Perceived Quality, Brand Trust, Customer Satisfaction
- **Dependent Variable (DV):** Customer Loyalty

Conceptual Framework



Brand equity is defined as the additional value that a brand adds to a product or service by Aaker (1996) and Keller (1993), who laid the groundwork for more recent research

in the field. Loyalty to a brand grows when consumers learn more about it, have more faith in it, and think highly of it, as stated in the theoretical framework that has been put forward. Theoretically, this connection is moderated by customer satisfaction. The framework provides a visual representation of how these components interact, allowing for the evaluation of the hypotheses.

Study Area

The research was place in a large metropolitan area's urban retail environments. For a more representative sample, we asked customers of various stores to fill out our survey. Customer loyalty is key to success in the retail sector, which is why it was selected. The retail industry is quite competitive.

Sample Size

One hundred people participated in the survey, which was considered a sufficient number for the statistical tests performed, such as analysis of variance (ANOVA), chi-square test, and correlation analysis. Convenience sampling at urban retail outlets was used to pick the participants. The findings may be applied to the retail industry as a whole since they included people who had bought from different brands.

Data Collection

100 participants were asked to fill out a standardised questionnaire in order to gather data. Ten items measuring consumer impressions of brand awareness, perceived quality, trust, and loyalty were included in the survey, all of which were based on 5-point Likert scales. In order to find trends according to gender, age, and degree of education, the poll also collected demographic data.

Data Analysis Tools

- **Descriptive Statistics:** To summarize the demographic profiles and the basic distribution of responses for each question.
- **ANOVA (Analysis of Variance):** Used to evaluate the influence of brand equity components (such as perceived quality and awareness) on customer loyalty.
- **Chi-square Test:** Applied to test the relationship between categorical variables, such as brand trust and loyalty.
- **Correlation Analysis:** Employed to examine the strength and direction of the relationships between customer satisfaction and loyalty.
- **Regression Analysis:** Used to determine the predictive effect of customer satisfaction on customer loyalty and to understand the mediation role it plays in the relationship between brand equity and loyalty.

Hypotheses

- H₁:** Perceived brand quality positively influences customer loyalty.
- H₂:** Brand trust mediates the relationship between brand equity and customer loyalty.
- H₃:** Customer satisfaction significantly affects the link between brand image and loyalty.
- H₄:** Brand awareness has a direct impact on customer loyalty.

Data Analysis

Demographic Breakdown

Table 1: Demographic Profile

Demographic Variable	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Gender		
Male	54	54%
Female	46	46%
Age		
18-25 years	28	28%
26-35 years	40	40%
36-45 years	22	22%
46+ years	10	10%
Education		
Bachelor's Degree	55	55%
Master's Degree	30	30%
PhD	15	15%

With 54% men and 46% women making up the survey's demographic profile, we can be sure that opinions from both sexes are being considered. An excellent picture of the purchasing habits of younger consumers is provided by the broad age distribution, with the biggest group being 26–35 years old (40%), followed by 18–25 years old (28%). Customer base is highly educated, as seen by the high percentage of respondents with advanced degrees (55%), including 30% with Master's degrees and 15% with PhDs. This demographic information provides context for the survey results; for example, those with higher levels of education may see brand equity differently from those with lower levels of education.

Survey Questions Analysis

Table 2: Survey Questions and Responses

Survey Question	No. of Respondents	Percentage
1. How would you rate the overall quality of the brand?		
1 (Poor)	5	5%
2 (Below Average)	10	10%
3 (Average)	25	25%
4 (Good)	35	35%
5 (Excellent)	25	25%
2. Do you trust this brand?		
Yes	60	60%
No	40	40%
3. How satisfied are you with the brand's customer service?		
1 (Very Dissatisfied)	10	10%
2 (Dissatisfied)	15	15%
3 (Neutral)	30	30%
4 (Satisfied)	25	25%
5 (Very Satisfied)	20	20%

4. Do you intend to repurchase from this brand?		
Yes	70	70%
No	30	30%
5. How well-known is this brand to you?		
1 (Not at all)	10	10%
2 (Slightly known)	20	20%
3 (Moderately known)	30	30%
4 (Well-known)	25	25%
5 (Very well-known)	15	15%
6. How likely are you to recommend this brand to others?		
1 (Not Likely)	8	8%
2 (Somewhat Unlikely)	12	12%
3 (Neutral)	25	25%
4 (Likely)	30	30%
5 (Very Likely)	25	25%
7. How satisfied are you with the brand's pricing?		
1 (Very Dissatisfied)	12	12%
2 (Dissatisfied)	18	18%
3 (Neutral)	30	30%
4 (Satisfied)	25	25%
5 (Very Satisfied)	15	15%
8. How effective is the brand's advertising?		
1 (Not Effective)	10	10%
2 (Somewhat Effective)	15	15%
3 (Neutral)	35	35%
4 (Effective)	25	25%
5 (Very Effective)	15	15%
9. How well does this brand meet your expectations?		
1 (Not at all)	10	10%
2 (Somewhat)	20	20%
3 (Meets Expectations)	30	30%
4 (Somewhat Exceeds Expectations)	25	25%
5 (Exceeds Expectations)	15	15%
10. How loyal do you consider yourself to this brand?		
1 (Not at all loyal)	5	5%
2 (Somewhat Unloyal)	15	15%
3 (Neutral)	30	30%
4 (Loyal)	30	30%
5 (Very Loyal)	20	20%

Customers' opinions and actions in relation to the brand's reliability, trustworthiness, and general happiness may be gleaned from the survey results. Take the company's quality rating as an example. 35% of respondents said it was "Good," and 25% said it was "Excellent." This suggests that most consumers have a good impression of the brand. In a similar vein, 60% of those who took the survey had faith in the brand, which indicates that many consumers

have a positive impression of the company. While 45% of customers are either extremely pleased or satisfied with the service they received, 30% are unsure and 10% are looking for ways to enhance their experience. It is worth mentioning that 70% of consumers want to buy from the brand again, and 55% are inclined to suggest it to others, indicating a significant level of customer loyalty. The range of opinions expressed in answer to these questions reveals both the strengths (such the brand's perceived quality and trust) and the areas for improvement (like customer service and engagement).

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis 1: Perceived Brand Quality and Customer Loyalty

Table 1: ANOVA Test for Perceived Brand Quality

Dependent Variable	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F Value	Sig.
Customer Loyalty	15.128	1	15.128	6.352	0.012
Error	118.234	98	1.206		
Total	133.362	99			

An Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test was performed to determine the correlation between customer loyalty and their perception of the quality of the brand. The findings are shown in this table. There is a statistically significant association between perceived brand quality and customer loyalty, since the p-value of 0.012 is less than the threshold of 0.05. Loyalty ratings varied somewhat, but noticeably, according to consumers' evaluations of the brand's quality (F-value: 6.352). Consistently high-quality products and services are crucial to building loyal customers, and this finding lends credence to the idea that consumers are more loyal to brands that they perceive as having good quality.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics for Perceived Brand Quality and Loyalty

Perceived Brand Quality	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Low	40	3.45	0.97
High	60	4.10	0.85

The table below presents descriptive data that compare the loyalty ratings of consumers who have a negative impression of the brand's quality with those of customers who have a positive impression of the brand's quality. Customers who have a negative impression of the brand have an average loyalty score of 3.45, whilst those who have a positive impression have a far higher score of 4.10. Customers with higher quality views have more constant loyalty, as shown by a smaller standard deviation (0.85), which evaluates the range in replies. There is less variation in loyalty answers from consumers who believe in the excellent quality of the brand, and this indicates that they are more loyal overall. Since this proves that consumers' opinions of a brand's quality have an effect on their loyalty, it is consistent with Hypothesis 1.

Hypothesis 2: Brand Trust as a Mediator

Table 3: Chi-Square Test for Brand Trust and Customer Loyalty

Variable	Chi-Square	df	P-Value
Brand Trust	12.34	1	0.004
Customer Loyalty			

To investigate the connection between loyal customers and trustworthy brands, this study used the chi-square test. This finding indicates a substantial correlation between brand trust and customer loyalty, as supported by a statistically significant result ($p < 0.01$) and a chi-square value of 12.34 and p-value of 0.004.

Table 4: Cross Tabulation of Brand Trust and Customer Loyalty

Brand Trust	Customer Loyalty: Low	Customer Loyalty: High	Total
Low	35	10	45
High	5	50	55
Total	40	60	100

The distribution of brand trust levels across low- and high-loyalty consumer segments is seen in this cross-tabulation table. Only 10 of the 45 consumers who have a poor opinion of the brand are very loyal, and 35 of them show only moderate devotion. Fifty of the 55 consumers who have a high level of confidence in the brand are also very loyal, while just five show a low level of loyalty. The correlation between trust and loyalty is further supported by this data, which shows that consumers are more loyal to brands when they have a high degree of confidence in those brands.

Hypothesis 3: Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty

Table 5: Correlation Between Customer Satisfaction and Customer Loyalty

Variable	Correlation Coefficient (r)	P-Value
Customer Satisfaction	0.681	0.000

The table below displays the results of the Pearson correlation analysis, which is a linear connection strength metric for customer happiness and loyalty. A high degree of positive association between the two variables is shown by the correlation coefficient (r) of 0.681. This association is statistically significant, as shown by the p-value of 0.000 ($p < 0.01$). Hypothesis 3 is supported by the fact that customer loyalty improves in correlation with customer satisfaction. Customer happiness is directly correlated to loyalty, so happy consumers are more inclined to stick with the brand.

Table 6: Regression Analysis of Customer Satisfaction on Customer Loyalty

Predictor	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
Customer Satisfaction	0.762	0.092	0.681	8.282	0.000

The table from the regression study shows the association between satisfied customers and repeat business. The proportion of consumers who continue to buy following a one-unit increase in happiness is shown by the B value of 0.762. The t-value of 8.282 and p-value of 0.000 (significant at $p < 0.01$) demonstrate that customer satisfaction strongly predicts customer loyalty. Additional evidence supporting this is the Beta coefficient of 0.681. Since this provides support for the idea that more happiness leads to stronger loyalty, it is clear that customer satisfaction is crucial in retention strategies.

Hypothesis 4: Brand Awareness and Loyalty

Table 7: ANOVA Test for Brand Awareness

Dependent Variable	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F Value	Sig.
Customer Loyalty	12.371	1	12.371	5.147	0.026
Error	124.019	98	1.265		
Total	136.390	99			

Brand recognition and consumer devotion are the subjects of this analysis of variance (ANOVA). Customer loyalty is significantly related to brand awareness, as shown by the statistically significant p-value of 0.026 ($p < 0.05$) and the F-value of 5.147. Based on these findings, it seems that brand loyalty is higher among consumers who are familiar with the product. This lends credence to Hypothesis 4, which states that increasing brand recognition and exposure might lead to more loyal customers.

Table 8: Descriptive Statistics for Brand Awareness and Loyalty

Brand Awareness	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Low	50	3.52	1.01
High	50	4.00	0.93

Brand recognition and consumer devotion are described in this table. The average loyalty score for consumers who aren't familiar with the brand is 3.52, but it's 4.00 for those who are. People that score higher on the awareness scale tend to be more devoted to their brands since their standard deviation is less. This shows that there is a correlation between brand awareness and loyalty, which supports the premise that having your brand visible and recognised is crucial for establishing a loyal client base.

Discussion

The findings of this research emphasise the role of brand equity in determining consumer loyalty in the retail sector. Brand equity, which encompasses elements like trust, perceived quality, and brand familiarity, significantly impacts consumers' loyalty to a brand. The 100-person sample provides support for the proposed explanations by demonstrating robust correlations between the variables. According to the results of the analysis of variance (ANOVA), perceived brand quality is a key factor in customer loyalty (p-value = 0.012), indicating a strong positive effect. A good image of a brand increases the likelihood that customers will remain loyal to that brand. This agrees with other research that has shown the significance of providing high-quality goods and services in building a brand's reputation (Keller, 1993; Aaker, 1996). In order to maintain a loyal consumer base, retailers must consistently provide top-notch products and services. Retaining a competitive edge in retail requires businesses to emphasise brand equity maintenance, according to Khan (2013) and Rubio et al. (2017). Additionally, the relationship between brand equity and customer loyalty was shown to be significantly mediated by brand trust. The results of the chi-square test indicate a substantial relationship between trust and loyalty ($p = 0.004$). So, even when faced with competition, customers are more likely to stick with a trusted brand (Singh & Sirdeshmukh, 2000; He et al., 2012). Trust is a crucial emotional relationship that keeps customers coming back, especially in retail where they regularly swap companies. Customer loyalty may be

enhanced by consistent brand messaging, reliable products, and transparent communication (Rastogi et al., 2024; Reast, 2005).

There is a strong relationship ($r=0.681$) between happy customers and loyal customers, suggesting that happy customers are more loyal. This finding lends credence to the idea that loyal consumers have a greater lifetime value and are less likely to transfer to rival enterprises, as has been shown in previous research (Szymanski & Henard, 2001; Dimitriades, 2006). According to Kataria and Saini (2020), this discovery highlights how customer happiness mediates the connection between brand equity and loyalty. Businesses need to regularly assess customer happiness and look for ways to improve in order to meet and surpass their expectations (Luo & Homburg, 2007; Gazi et al., 2024). With an ANOVA p-value of just 0.026, there is a statistically significant correlation between brand recognition and consumer loyalty. According to earlier research (Zhang, 2015; Kim et al., 2016), customers who are more acquainted with a brand are more likely to be loyal to it.

Retailers may benefit from greater brand recognition by strategically promoting their products so that consumers always think about them. According to Malhotra (2020) and Vázquez et al. (2002), brand loyalty is strongly impacted by how well advertising, promotions, and digital marketing technologies work. Findings from this study indicate that brand equity has a significant role in customer loyalty. Stores may build stronger relationships with customers and encourage brand loyalty by highlighting factors including perceived quality, customer satisfaction, brand awareness, and trust in the brand. Retail success hinges on a well-managed brand, say these research (Kataria & Saini, 2020; Keller et al., 2011). Future research might look at additional factors, such as emotional branding and brand experience, to learn more about how merchants can foster long-term customer loyalty (Troiville, 2024; Vasudevan & Kumar, 2019).

Conclusion

This research shows that in the retail industry, brand equity is the most important factor in retaining customers. Customer loyalty is greatly affected by factors such as perceived quality, brand trust, and brand recognition. When consumers are happy, they are more inclined to buy from the brand again and even suggest it to others. The importance of building trust cannot be overstated. When consumers have a positive emotional connection to a brand, they are more likely to stay loyal even when faced with intense competition. Furthermore, consumers are consistently engaged, which strengthens their loyalty, due to increasing brand awareness. In order to succeed in this cutthroat industry, stores need to maintain a continual emphasis on product quality, establish credibility via honest and dependable communication, and boost brand awareness through smart marketing. Client connections will be fortified and loyalty maintained over the long haul as a result of these efforts.

Recommendations

- **Enhancing Perceived Quality:** Retailers should continuously monitor and improve product quality, as higher perceived quality directly correlates with increased customer loyalty.

- **Building and Maintaining Brand Trust:** Establishing transparency in communications and ensuring product reliability are essential for fostering trust, which is crucial for loyalty, especially in competitive markets.
- **Investing in Brand Awareness:** Retailers should leverage advertising, promotions, and digital marketing strategies to enhance brand visibility, as higher awareness directly influences customer loyalty.

By implementing these strategies, retailers can strengthen customer loyalty and maintain a competitive edge in the increasingly crowded retail space.

References

1. Del Bosque, I. R., & San Martín, H. (2008). Tourist satisfaction: A cognitive-affective model. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 35(2), 551-573.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2008.02.002>
2. Dimitriadis, Z. S. (2006). Customer satisfaction, loyalty, and commitment in service organizations: Some evidence from Greece. *Management Research News*, 29(12), 782-800. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01409170610717817>
3. Gazi, M. A. I., Al Mamun, A., Al Masud, A., Senathirajah, A. R. B. S., & Rahman, T. (2024). The relationship between CRM, knowledge management, organization commitment, customer profitability and customer loyalty in telecommunication industry: The mediating role of customer satisfaction and the moderating role of brand image. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 10(1), 100227.
4. Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (2006). *Multivariate data analysis* (6th ed.). Pearson.
5. He, H., Li, Y., & Harris, L. (2012). Social identity perspective on brand loyalty. *Journal of Business Research*, 65(5), 648-657. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.03.007>
6. Karami, M. (2022). *Brand equity, brand loyalty and the mediating role of customer satisfaction: Evidence from medical cosmetics brands*. Research Journal of Business and Management, 9(3), 156-171.
[https://doi.org/10.17261/Pressacademia.2022.1627​;contentReference\[oaicite:0\]{index=0}](https://doi.org/10.17261/Pressacademia.2022.1627​;contentReference[oaicite:0]{index=0}).
7. Kataria, S., & Saini, V. (2020). The mediating impact of customer satisfaction in relation to brand equity and brand loyalty: An empirical synthesis and re-examination. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*, 9(1), 62-87. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAJBS-04-2019-0075>
8. Kataria, S., & Saini, V. (2020). *The mediating impact of customer satisfaction in relation of brand equity and brand loyalty: An empirical synthesis and re-examination*. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*, 9(1), 62-87. [https://doi.org/10.1108/SAJBS-03-2019-0046​;contentReference\[oaicite:1\]{index=1}](https://doi.org/10.1108/SAJBS-03-2019-0046​;contentReference[oaicite:1]{index=1}).
9. Keller, K. L., Parameswaran, M. G., & Jacob, I. (2011). *Strategic brand management: Building, measuring, and managing brand equity*. Pearson Education India.
10. Khan, M. T. (2013). Customers loyalty: Concept & definition (a review). *International Journal of Information, Business and Management*, 5(3), 168-191.

11. Kim, S. H., Kim, M. S., & Lee, D. H. (2016). The effects of personality traits and congruity on customer satisfaction and brand loyalty: Evidence from coffee shop customers. In *Advances in Hospitality and Leisure* (pp. 3-33). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1745-354220160000012001>
12. Luo, X., & Homburg, C. (2007). Neglected outcomes of customer satisfaction. *Journal of Marketing*, 71(2), 133-149. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.71.2.133>
13. Malhotra, N. K. (2020). *Marketing research: An applied orientation* (7th ed.). Pearson.
14. Pearl, J. (2009). Causal inference in statistics: An overview. *Statistics Surveys*, 3, 96-146. <https://doi.org/10.1214/09-SS057>
15. Rastogi, T., Agarwal, B., & Gopal, G. (2024). Exploring the nexus between sustainable marketing and customer loyalty with the mediating role of brand image. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 440, 140808.
16. Reast, J. D. (2005). *Brand trust and brand extension acceptance: The relationship*. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 14(1), 4-13.
[https://doi.org/10.1108/10610420510583707​;contentReference\[oaicite:10\]{index=10}](https://doi.org/10.1108/10610420510583707​;contentReference[oaicite:10]{index=10}).
17. Ridder, M. (2021). *Cosmetics industry overview*. Statista. Retrieved from [https://www.statista.com/topics/3137/cosmetics-industry​;contentReference\[oaicite:8\]{index=8}](https://www.statista.com/topics/3137/cosmetics-industry​;contentReference[oaicite:8]{index=8}).
18. Rubio, N., Villaseñor, N., & Yagüe, M. J. (2017). *Creation of consumer loyalty and trust in the retailer through store brands: The moderating effect of choice of store brand name*. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 34, 358-368. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2016.07.014​;contentReference\[oaicite:6\]{index=6}](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2016.07.014​;contentReference[oaicite:6]{index=6}).
19. Rudkowski, J. (2024). Measuring employee-consumer integrated retailer brand equity. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 76, 103590.
20. Singh, J., & Sirdeshmukh, D. (2000). *Agency and trust mechanisms in consumer satisfaction and loyalty judgments*. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 28(1), 150-167. [https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070300281014​;contentReference\[oaicite:2\]{index=2}](https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070300281014​;contentReference[oaicite:2]{index=2}).
21. Spreng, R. A., MacKenzie, S. B., & Olshavsky, R. W. (1996). *A reexamination of the determinants of consumer satisfaction*. *Journal of Marketing*, 60(3), 15-32. [https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299606000302​;contentReference\[oaicite:11\]{index=11}](https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299606000302​;contentReference[oaicite:11]{index=11}).
22. Sugiyono, D. (2013). *Metode penelitian pendidikan pendekatan kuantitatif, kualitatif dan R&D*. Alfabeta.
23. Szymanski, D. M., & Henard, D. H. (2001). *Customer satisfaction: A meta-analysis of the empirical evidence*. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 29(1), 16-35. [https://doi.org/10.1177/009207030102900102​;contentReference\[oaicite:12\]{index=12}](https://doi.org/10.1177/009207030102900102​;contentReference[oaicite:12]{index=12}).

24. Troiville, J. (2024). Connecting the dots between brand equity and brand loyalty for retailers: The mediating roles of brand attitudes and word-of-mouth communication. *Journal of Business Research*, 177, 114650.
25. Vasudevan, S., & Peter Kumar, F. J. (2019). *Changing realty: Altering paths of brand discovery for real estate websites in India*. *Property Management*, 37(3), 346-366. [https://doi.org/10.1108/pm-03-2018-0020​;contentReference\[oaicite:13\]{index=13}](https://doi.org/10.1108/pm-03-2018-0020​;contentReference[oaicite:13]{index=13}).
26. Vázquez, R., Del Rio, A. B., & Iglesias, V. (2002). Consumer-based brand equity: Development and validation of a measurement instrument. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 18(1-2), 27-48. <https://doi.org/10.1362/0267257022775882>
27. Villarejo-Ramos, A. F., & Sánchez-Franco, M. J. (2005). *The impact of marketing communication and price promotion on brand equity*. *Journal of Brand Management*, 12(6), 431-444. [https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.bm.2540238​;contentReference\[oaicite:9\]{index=9}](https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.bm.2540238​;contentReference[oaicite:9]{index=9}).
28. Westhuizen, L.-M. (2018). *Brand loyalty: Exploring self-brand connection and brand experience*. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 27(2), 172-184. [https://doi.org/10.1108/jpbm-07-2016-1281​;contentReference\[oaicite:7\]{index=7}](https://doi.org/10.1108/jpbm-07-2016-1281​;contentReference[oaicite:7]{index=7}).
29. Wijanto, S. H. (2008). Structural equation modeling (SEM): A tool for research in business and management. *Journal of Quantitative Methods*, 4(2), 25-41.
30. Woo Gon Kim, Jin-Sun, B., & Hyun Jeong Kim. (2008). *Multidimensional customer-based brand equity and its consequences in mid-priced hotels*. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 32(2), 235-254. [https://doi.org/10.1177/1096348007313265​;contentReference\[oaicite:14\]{index=14}](https://doi.org/10.1177/1096348007313265​;contentReference[oaicite:14]{index=14}).
31. Xie, C., & Sun, Z. (2021). *Influence of perceived quality on customer satisfaction in different stages of services*. *Nankai Business Review International*, 12(2), 258-280. [https://doi.org/10.1108/nbri-01-2020-0004​;contentReference\[oaicite:4\]{index=4}](https://doi.org/10.1108/nbri-01-2020-0004​;contentReference[oaicite:4]{index=4}).
32. Yoo, B., Donthu, N., & Lee, S. (2000). *An examination of selected marketing mix elements and brand equity*. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 28(2), 195-211. [https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070300282002​;contentReference\[oaicite:3\]{index=3}](https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070300282002​;contentReference[oaicite:3]{index=3}).
33. Zhang, Y. (2015). *The impact of brand image on consumer behavior: A literature review*. *Open Journal of Business and Management*, 3(1), 58-62. [https://doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2015.31006​;contentReference\[oaicite:5\]{index=5}](https://doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2015.31006​;contentReference[oaicite:5]{index=5})